Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) porous scaffolds for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine
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Porous scaffolds fabricated from biocompatible and biodegradable polymers play vital roles in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Among various scaffold matrix materials, poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) is a very popular and an important biodegradable polyester owing to its tunable degradation rates, good mechanical properties and processibility, etc. This review highlights the progress on PLGA scaffolds. In the latest decade, some facile fabrication approaches at room temperature were put forward; more appropriate pore structures were designed and achieved; the mechanical properties were investigated both for dry and wet scaffolds; a long time biodegradation of the PLGA scaffold was observed and a three-stage model was established; even the effects of pore size and porosity on in vitro biodegradation were revealed; the PLGA scaffolds have also been implanted into animals, and some tissues have been regenerated in vivo after loading cells including stem cells.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Biodegradable materials are very important in medical applications such as tissue engineering, tissue induction, drug-controlled release and internal fixation [1–3]. A specific physical form of materials, porous scaffolds has been paid much attention in the latest decade owing to the emergence of modern regenerative medicine. This material form has a rich physics as well as broad potential applications. The present review will concern the progress of the pertinent interface between physics, biology and material sciences.

The development of tissue engineering, tissue induction and other types of regenerative medicine depend strongly upon the techniques of three-dimensional porous scaffolds composed of organic and inorganic substrates, very frequently, biocompatible and biodegradable polymers [4–7]. Acting as temporary substitutes for extracellular matrices (ECM) [8], the scaffolds provide an initial mechanical support and a three-dimensional niche for transplanted cells until the regenerated tissue can stabilize the initial structure. High porosities and interconnected pores are thus desired for scaffolds to facilitate cell seeding and adhesion, ECM secretion and eventual tissue regeneration [9]; on the other hand, appropriate mechanical properties and degradation rates of porous scaffolds are also very important [10,11]. Among a number of scaffold materials reported so far, poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) is the most popular biodegradable polymer [3,4,9,12], owing to its prominent advantages such as adjustability of degradation rates, good mechanical properties especially toughness, and excellent processibility. PLGA was usually obtained via ring-opening copolymerization of lactide (LA) and glycolide (GA), as shown in scheme 1. LA could be formed by condensation of two L-lactic acids or D-lactic acids or a mixture of D- and L-configurations. D,L-lactide is used more frequently, not owing to the low cost (actually more expensive than L,L-lactide), but owing to the fact that the corresponding materials are more tough and the degradation rates are easily controlled for an amorphous polymer.

The latest decade has witnessed much progress of the fundamental research of PLGA scaffolds as well as their medical applications. Owing to the wide applications of PLGA materials and the specific requirements of porous scaffolds in regenerative medicine, a focused review of PLGA porous scaffolds is very helpful for pertinent researchers and users in broad subjects, and might also be stimulating for research and design of other biodegradable materials. The present review will highlight the recent progress of PLGA scaffolds, especially about the corresponding fundamental research such as...
fabrication approaches, mechanical properties and degradation behaviours. The progress by Chinese researchers including the authors’ group will be emphasized since the manuscript is for a special issue of ‘Biomaterials Research in China’.

2. APPROACHES TO FABRICATE POROUS SCAFFOLDS AT NON-HIGH TEMPERATURES

Several strategies have been used to fabricate three-dimensional porous scaffolds in the past decades, such as porogen leaching [13], fibre bonding [14], phase separation [15], freeze drying [16], gas foaming [17], three-dimensional printing and fused deposition modelling [18], and electrospinning [19]. While each strategy has its advantage, porogen leaching has been taken by many groups owing to its great convenience in controlling porosity and pore sizes. Nevertheless, how to combine the porogen leaching and moulding technique together still constitutes an important topic. Especially, the avoidance of high temperature should be taken into consideration in order to alleviate degradation in processing biodegradable polyesters. The Fudan group has improved the pertinent fabrication techniques at moderate or even room temperature.

2.1. Modified thermal compression moulding/particulate leaching method at moderate temperature

Compression moulding is widely used to shape plastic products with complicated geometry. Nevertheless, a simple combination of the compression moulding and porogen leaching led to PLGA porous scaffolds just with a simple shape. Potential clinical applications of tissue engineering require scaffolds resembling the anatomical shapes of the deficient tissues or organs. However in scaffold fabrication, the mould release of a sticky polymer material of a complicated shape became a challenging topic. The Fudan group developed a feasible and practical fabrication method called modified thermal compression moulding/particulate leaching approach (MTCM/PL) to simultaneously form an internal interconnected pore structure and an external complicated anatomical shape of the porous scaffolds [20]. In the MTCM/PL approach, a polymer–porogen mixture (PLGA and NaCl particles) was first prepared by the conventional solvent casting, and then compressively moulded in a specially designed flexible–rigid combined mould. The rigid part was made of metal, which made the mould pressure-loadable in moulding, and the flexible part was a silicone rubber, which enabled the mould release after moulding even a complicated scaffold such as an auricle scaffold. Our PLGA scaffolding could be carried out at a moderate temperature, which is above the glass transition temperature but below the flow temperature of PLGA. Finally, a highly interconnected porous scaffold with good mechanical properties was obtained after particulate leaching.

2.2. Room-temperature compression moulding/particulate leaching approach

As convenience of scaffolding and alleviation of polyester degradation are concerned, the better choice of processing temperature is, of course, room temperature. It is also helpful not to have to use a high-pressure compressing machine in moulding. In order to avoid high temperature and high-pressure machinery, our group further put forward a ‘room-temperature’ compression moulding/particulate leaching approach (RTCMP/PL) [21,22]. The fabrication process is schematically presented in figure 1a. A highly concentrated polymer solution instead of polymer melt was herein used, and the mixture of polymer–porogen was thus mouldable owing to assistance of the organic solvent of PLGA. In this strategy, two solvents must be used, one is an organic solvent to resolve polymer but not porogen, the other is water to resolve salt porogen particles but not the polyester.

While this approach is very convenient to fabricate complex scaffolds at relatively low temperature and pressure, the as-shaped mixture of polymer-porogen must shrink during solvent evaporation after demoulding. Yet, the shrinkage was found tolerable under normal fabrications with high salt content, which is just what the preparation of highly porous scaffolds requires. Some representative porous scaffolds with anatomical shapes are shown in figure 1b.

2.3. Room-temperature injection moulding/particulate leaching approach

A processing technique more accurate than compression moulding is injection moulding in plastic engineering. However, polymer melt is used in injection moulding as usual, and the high temperature must lead to serious polyester degradation. So, again with the assistance of a solvent of PLGA, we developed another approach, which we called room-temperature injection moulding/particulate leaching (RTIM/PL). As is well known, tubular scaffolds with a small diameter and/or a thin wall are not easy to fabricate owing to difficulties to charge mould and control wall thickness. The feasibility of RTIM/PL was demonstrated by us to

\[ \text{Scheme 1. Schematic of synthesis of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA).} \]
successfully fabricating highly porous scaffolds with tubular and other complicated shapes free of thermal degradation and a high-pressure machine [23]. It provides a technology with high repeatability and precision.

Since in this approach, a ‘wet’ composite of particulate/polymer/solvent was used as the processing objective to inject into the mould, our scaffolding technique triggers the rheological research of these ‘complex fluids’. The salt particle suspension in a PLGA solution exhibited Newtonian behaviour at low shear frequency but non-Newtonian behaviour with a power-law relation at high frequency [24]. The viscosities of suspensions increased with the volume fraction of particles. We found that the viscosity increase with the fraction was sharper than that predicted by the Einstein equation, which implied significant particle interaction and possible particle reorganization under flow.

2.4. Control of pore shape and inter-pore connectivity by design of new porogens

Our motivation to control pore shape was triggered by the improvement of inter-pore connectivity. The inter-pore connectivity is important for cell loading in tissue engineering and cell in-growth in tissue induction. In general, the interconnectivity is enhanced with the increase of porosity. But how to enhance the interconnectivity of a scaffold under a given porosity? Preparation of an appropriate porogen affords a way.

Several kinds of porogens have been used to fabricate porous scaffolds [9,13,25]. Inorganic salt porogens are widely applied owing to easy leaching by water, but limited by the crystal shape and eventually low inter-connectivity of scaffolds. Spheric paraffin particles were tried as porogens by the Ma group [9]. They dropped poly(lactide) (PLA) or PLGA solution into the pre-stacked paraffin cluster, and the scaffolds after leaching paraffin exhibited well-controlled architecture. Our group combined this porogen technique with our room-temperature compression moulding approach to fabricate large polyester scaffolds efficiently [26]. Resultant scaffolds exhibited ordered macropores with good inter-pore connectivity as shown in figure 2. The porosity ranged from 77 to 97 per cent by varying porogen content. Cells adhered well on the pore walls.

Gelatin particles were used as porogens by another Chinese group [28]. The good connectivity of the scaffold resulted from not only the well-ordered spheric porogens, but also the deformability of paraffin under compression [27], as indicated in figure 2a. The good interconnectivity was even indicated by those fibroblasts growing into the pores after implanting our PLGA scaffold subcutaneously into Sprague Dawley (SD) rats, as shown in figure 2c,d.

It is worthy of note that some other Chinese groups also developed the fabrication techniques of scaffolds of synthetic or natural polymers. For instance, a porous PLA scaffold of orientated microtubules was fabricated by Wang et al. through an improved thermal-induced phase separation technique [29]. The microtubule structure could be controlled by the temperature gradient in the formation process. A Tsinghua group [30] used a rapid prototyping technology to obtain three-dimensional structures composed of hepatocytes and gelatin hydrogel. These kind of techniques [6,30] employ an accurate three-dimensional micropositioning system with a pressure-controlled syringe, which can deposit cell/biomaterial with designed structures.

3. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF POROUS SCAFFOLDS

In regenerative medicine, a scaffold should keep its structural integrity in the early stage after implanting and provide an appropriate microstress stimulation to cells [8,11]. Many groups have reported the mechanical properties of porous scaffolds of different biomaterials fabricated by different approaches [9,15,17,18,31–35], including our group [20–22,26,27,36,37]. The mechanical properties of the porous scaffolds could be affected by several factors, and some factors were not well recognized or understood.

3.1. Effects of porosity on mechanical properties of porous scaffolds

PLGA is basically an elastic-plastic material and yielding may happen in some compression tests, as
demonstrated in figure 3a. The porous scaffolds exhibited striking yielding behaviours at low porosities, in which a stress peak appeared after an elastic deformation [27]. The yielding peak disappeared, however, at relative high porosities above approximately 80 per cent, and gave a way to a flexure point. The slope in the linear elastic region gives the compressive modulus \( E \), and the flexure point or yielding point describes the compressive strength \( s_y \).

Under a given molecular weight (MW) of polymers and content of porogens, the compressive modulus and strength of scaffolds fabricated with MTCM/PL were higher than those of the scaffolds fabricated with the RTCM/PL approach. Owing to solvent evaporation in RTCM/PL, more micropores in the walls of macropores act as material defects and contribute unfavourably to the mechanical properties [22]. Nevertheless, the mechanical properties of the scaffolds obtained via the RTCM/PL approach are still in the same order of magnitude as those prepared via the former approach, especially under high porosities. Because of the convenience of RTCM/PL, the following tests of our research were carried out for the scaffolds fabricated by this approach.

While a simple linear relationship between mechanical parameters and relative density \( (1 - \varphi_V) \), expressed as:

\[
E = C_1(1 - \varphi_V)^m \tag{3.1}
\]

and

\[
s = C_2(1 - \varphi_V)^n \tag{3.2}
\]

Here, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants. The positive exponents \( m \) and \( n \) indicate reasonably a decrease of compressive modulus and strength with the increase of porosity. So, although a high porosity is beneficial for the nutrition exchange in tissue engineering, an overly high porosity such as over 95 per cent is not expected owing to poor mechanical properties. That is why the porosity \( \varphi_V \) of a PLGA scaffold is usually selected at around 90 per cent.

3.2. Effects of pore shape on mechanical properties

We found that our PLGA scaffolds of cubic pores and spheric pores exhibited both power-law relations of mechanical parameters versus \( (1 - \varphi_V) \), as shown in figure 3b. Figure 3b also indicates different scaling exponents between two PLGA scaffolds. At low porosities, the modulus of a cubic-pore scaffold was higher than that of a sphere-pore scaffold, but a reverse trend was
found at high porosities. Our fundamental research affords guidance of the corresponding scaffold design and parameter selection.

3.3. Effects of dry or wet state on mechanical properties of scaffolds

As the porous scaffolds are ultimately used in vivo, it is meaningful to evaluate them under a simulated physiological environment in vitro. While most of the data about mechanical properties of porous scaffolds were collected at the dry state in the literature, we examined the ‘wet-state’ mechanical properties under phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution [37]. Surprisingly, even though PLGA is hydrophobic, the PLGA scaffolds were softened under the ‘wet’ environment when compared with the usual ‘dry’/25°C condition, as shown in figure 4.

We further interpret those phenomena based on the glass transition temperature \( T_g \). As PLGA has a \( T_g \) of 45–60°C not far away from the body temperature, the difference between \( T_g \) and the measurement temperature plays a critical role in the change of mechanical properties. Wetting of scaffolds results in plasticization of polymer and reduction of \( T_g \), and consequently leads to the decrease of mechanical properties.

Ethanol treatment is a common sterilization approach of PLGA scaffolds. We found that if scaffolds were pre-wetted with ethanol ahead of being pre-wetted with PBS, the mechanical properties further decreased compared with those merely pre-wetted with PBS. Our findings and new insights are helpful for designing polyester porous scaffolds of desired mechanical properties for regenerative medicine.

3.4. Effects of copolymer composition of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) on mechanical properties

Besides MW, the composition of PLGA is also a key molecular parameter. For instance, PLGA85/15 means that the weight fractions of LA and GA are 85 and 15 per cent, respectively. Copolymer composition remarkably affects the mechanical properties of scaffolds. For amorphous PLGA, a higher GA content results in a decrease of \( T_g \) of PLGA and of the mechanical properties of the corresponding scaffolds. Figure 4 gives an excellent demonstration of the composition effect, and the mechanical properties of PLGA 50:50 scaffolds were even not sufficiently strong for mechanical tests in some cases.

3.5. Other factors to influence the mechanical properties of scaffolds

Some other factors such as degree of crystallization for crystalline polymers and detection temperature are also significant. We do not highlight the crystallization effect

Figure 3. (a) Some typical stress–strain curves of PLGA85/15 porous scaffolds with spherical macropores at indicated porosities. (b) Compressive modulus of PLGA85/15 foams as a function of relative density, \( 1 - \varphi \). The examined porosities are between 77 and 97%. Marked in the figure are slopes and cross points. Adapted from Zhang et al. [27].

Figure 4. Effects of environmental conditions on the compressive modulus \( E \) of PLGA porous scaffolds of different compositions with the indicated weight ratios of LA and GA. The measurements were carried out under the indicated conditions or pretreatment conditions marked by (A–E) in (a). For instance, in case C, the scaffolds were first wetted by PBS, and then measured at 37°C; in case D, the scaffolds were first treated by ethanol, then washed thoroughly by PBS, and the mechanical measurements of the wet scaffolds were carried out at 25°C. \( E/E_{dry} \) in (b) is the ratio of the compressive modulus under a certain condition to the ‘initial’ one under the dry/25°C condition. Fraction of porogen: 90 wt%; porosity: 88%. Adapted from Wu et al. [37].
Degradation of porous scaffolds is necessary for a tissue engineering material, and it affects cell viability, cell growth and even host response in engineering a tissue [38]. The ideal in vivo degradation rate may be similar to that of tissue formation. PLGA degrades prevalently via chemical hydrolysis of the hydrolytically unstable ester bonds into lactic acids and glycolic acids, which are non-toxic and can be removed from the body by normal metabolic pathways [39]. The degraded particles and fragments were selectively concentrated in the liver and kidney, following release of the degraded products into the bloodstream from the implantation site [40]. Our group confirmed that a solid film of polyelectrolyte compounded with some results shown in figure 5a [21,36]. The mechanical properties of tissue engineering scaffolds at very low or high temperatures. It is necessary to keep in mind many factors that influence the mechanical properties in selection of an appropriate scaffold.

4. DEGRADATION OF POLY(LACTIDE-CO-GLYCOLIDE) SCAFFOLDS

Degradation of porous scaffolds is necessary for a tissue engineering material, and it affects cell viability, cell growth and even host response in engineering a tissue [38]. The ideal in vivo degradation rate may be similar to that of tissue formation. PLGA degrades prevalently via chemical hydrolysis of the hydrolytically unstable ester bonds into lactic acids and glycolic acids, which are non-toxic and can be removed from the body by normal metabolic pathways [39]. The degraded particles and fragments were selectively concentrated in the liver and kidney, following release of the degraded products into the bloodstream from the implantation site [40]. Our group confirmed that a solid film of polyelectrolyte compounded with some results shown in figure 5a [21,36]. The mechanical properties of tissue engineering scaffolds at very low or high temperatures. It is necessary to keep in mind many factors that influence the mechanical properties in selection of an appropriate scaffold.

4.1. Three-stage kinetic model to describe in vitro degradation of amorphous poly(lactide-co-glycolide) scaffolds

We observed the in vitro degradation of many PLGA porous scaffolds in PBS solution at 37°C in parallel with some results shown in figure 5a [21,36]. The MW of the remaining polymer decreased exponentially with time, indicating simultaneous degradation on the surface and in the interior of the materials. This is a typical characteristic of the bulk degradation mechanism of polyesters.

Besides the change in MW and its distribution of the polymer, we measured a group of other quantities such as weight, diameter and compressive modulus of scaffolds, as plotted in figure 5b [21]. Based on the comparison between the changes of those quantities, we divided the in vitro degradation process of porous scaffolds composed of amorphous PLGA into three stages: quasi-stable stage (stage I), decrease-of-strength stage (stage II), and loss-of-weight and disruption-of-scaffold stage (stage III). The first stage of degradation includes two sub-stages, I-1 and I-2: the I-1 stage was characterized by a probable decrease of the dimensions of the porous scaffolds and increase of mechanical properties, while the scaffold weight did not change significantly; at the I-2 stage, all quantities except MW did not change significantly. At stage II, we observed the decreased mechanical properties and broadened MW distribution with multiple peaks, yet still constant scaffold weight and dimensions. The phase that exhibited obvious weight loss, dimension decrease and eventual disruption of the scaffold was defined as stage III. Weight loss was accompanied by diffusion and dissolution of acidic degradation products, namely, oligomers, lactic acid and glycolic acid, into the PBS solution, thus resulting in decrease of medium pH.

4.2. Effects of porosity and pore size on scaffold degradation

While many factors influence degradation of porous scaffolds, the effects of pore size and porosity were realized by only a few Western groups about one decade ago [45–47]. But their reports are controversial, and thus our group performed a very careful examination of these two effects. A series of scaffolds with porosities among 80–95% and pore sizes among 50–450 μm were fabricated, and the in vitro degradation profiles were observed in comparative tests. Significant effects were observed, as shown in figure 6 [36]. In all of the cases, the degradation processes obeyed the aforementioned three-stage model. The half degradation time $t_{1/2}$ in figure 6 refers to the degradation time at
which the remaining polymers have average MW half of the initial value before biodegradation, and this value is readily obtained by linearly fitting the logarithmic MW versus $t$. We also define a term $r_w$, which means the maximum rate of weight loss and could be calculated via scaffold weight as a function of degradation time. We unambiguously conclude that scaffolds with a higher porosity or a smaller pore size degraded more slowly than those with a lower porosity or a larger pore size.

We further gave a unified interpretation of the pore size effect and the porosity effect. The two effects are both attributed to a wall effect instead of a surface area effect. The scaffolds with lower porosities or larger pores possess thicker pore walls, which depress the diffusion of acidic degradation products and thus enhance the acid-catalysed hydrolysis. Our conclusion has been accepted by the field of biomaterials [48–51]. Very recently, the Albertsson group also reported similar phenomena for porous PLA scaffolds [52].

### 4.3. Other factors to influence scaffold degradation

#### 4.3.1. Composition

Although porous scaffolds made from amorphous polyester-like PLGA are often regarded as hydrophobic biomaterials, they do exhibit a certain hydrophilicity to absorb water and thus degrade by cleavage of hydrolytically sensitive ester bonds. A higher content of less hydrophobic GA units in the copolymers facilitates the absorption and diffusion of water and thus the hydrolysis. For instance, the degradation rate increases in the order of PLGA85/15<PLGA75/25 [21].

Not only the copolymer composition, but also the additives in scaffolds could affect the degradation behaviour. For example, the incorporation of tripolyphosphate (TPP) nanoparticles to PLGA scaffolds adjusted the acidic degradation of PLGA [53].

#### 4.3.2. Degree of crystallization

While PLGA is amorphous if D,L-lactide instead of L-lactide is a monomer and the fraction of GA is not overly high, it is semi-crystalline under an appropriate GA content or in the case of L,L-lactide. The degree of crystallization must then influence the degradation behaviours significantly. Recently, Kofron et al. reported that the degradation behaviour of amorphous PLGA scaffolds was more suitable for bone tissue engineering because of more mineralized tissue formation at the matrix interior when compared with semi-crystalline PLGA scaffolds [51].

#### 4.3.3. Temperature and pH

It is easy to understand that the material degradation strongly depends on temperature. By examining the half-life of MW of PLGA in the form of porous scaffolds under different temperatures [41], we confirmed that the biodegradation of porous scaffolds obeys the Arrhenius equation with an activation energy.

The pH effect is also not unexpected. PLGA degrades prevalingly via chemical hydrolysis, and a low pH or very high pH causes a significant effect to catalyse hydrolysis of an ester bond. So, temperature and pH should be strictly controlled for a convincing in vitro degradation test.

#### 4.3.4. Mechanical loading

The degradation behaviours of porous scaffolds composed of PLGA and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) under mechanical loading were studied by Yuan & Fan’s groups [50]. The cyclic loading under dynamic conditions accelerated the degradation of the PLGA component in the composite scaffolds with respect to...
that under static conditions. So, even the mechanical loading state should be taken into consideration in predicting a degradation behaviour.

4.3.5. In vivo microenvironment
For those enzyme-degradable materials [54], the degradation rate in vitro might be very different from that in vivo. Since the main degradation mechanism of polyester is hydrolysis, the difference of degradation rates in vitro and in vivo is not so significant. Nevertheless, the difference still cannot be neglected. By observation of PLGA75/25 scaffolds in cartilage defect of rabbits for 12 weeks, Gao et al. confirmed that in vivo degradation was faster than in vitro [55]. Such a phenomenon was also observed by our group in comparison of degradation of a thermogel of block copolymer composed of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and PLGA [56] or PEG and poly(ε-caprolactone-co-lactide) [57] in vitro and in vivo. In most of the literature, the in vivo degradation was observed much less carefully than in vitro degradation. It is thus worthy of mentioning that the Song group patiently investigated the in vivo degradation of another biodegradable polymer poly(ε-caprolactone) in rats for as long as 2 years [58].

5. SURFACE MODIFICATION OF POLY(LACTIDE-CO-GLYCOLIDE) SCAFFOLDS OR COMPOSITES CONTAINING POLY(LACTIDE-CO-GLYCOLIDE)

Surface modification is very helpful for improvement of the performance of many biomaterials. The modification techniques could be classified into three strategies: morphological modifications (porosity and roughness), chemical modifications (composition and charge) and biological modifications, as summarized by Jiao & Cui [59]. While plenty of reports could be found about surface modification techniques of biomaterials in various forms, the most efficient technique for three-dimensional porous scaffolds developed in China is, in our opinion, the plasma treatment followed by collagen coating as put forward by the Wang group [60].

To mimic ECM of new tissues, many biocompatible materials have, besides collagen [60–62], been incorporated into the PLGA matrix, such as hydroxyapatite [63], TCP [64], TPP [53], chitosan [65], gelatin [66], alginate [67], peptide [68], elastin [69], galectin-1 [65], chondroitin sulphate [70], hyaluronic acid [70], and poly(β-hydroxybutyrate-co-β-hydroxyvalerate) [71].

6. TISSUE REPAIR AND RECONSTRUCTION BASED ON POLY(LACTIDE-CO-GLYCOLIDE) SCAFFOLDS

Before engineering tissues, cells should evenly be loaded into porous scaffolds as usual. Distribution of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in large porous polyester scaffolds was investigated by Chinese researchers to optimize the syringing depth during in vitro cell loading. It was found that an even distribution of cells was soon achieved if the initial cell suspension was seeded in the layer that was below the top surface but above the middle of scaffolds [72].

Our scaffolds were also tried in constructing tissues in large animals in cooperation with the Wang group [73]. The PLGA scaffolds loaded with autologous MSCs were implanted in a joint cavity of a sheep. Cartilage formation was observed after eight weeks, as shown in figure 7 [73]. So far, many cases of successful cartilage construction have been reported by several groups [55,61,67,70,74,75].

PLGA scaffolds were used to repair defects of other tissues such as bone [68,71,76–78], liver [79], nerve [66,80], skin [81] and blood vessel [69,82]. Drugs or proteins especially growth factors or genes to express growth factors were also loaded into porous scaffolds, which could significantly improve the regeneration of new tissues [12,55,75,83,84].

7. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, we have highlighted the fabrication approaches, mechanical properties, in vitro degradation and modification of PLGA scaffolds. These properties are very important for cell seeding and adhesion, ECM secretion and eventual tissue regeneration. The in vivo characterization of PLGA scaffolds and corresponding cell responses are still rather limited.

Since stem cells became the most important seeding cells in tissue engineering and tissue induction, specific attention could be focused upon the interaction between materials and stem cells. Besides chemical modification, surface topography and even material stiffness have...
been realized to influence basic behaviours of cells including stem cells [85–88]. Three-dimensional cell behaviour might be also different from on two-dimensional substrates. Besides macro pores, the microscopic and nanoscopic cues are realized to play important roles, and thus a symphony of the hierarchical structures should be taken into consideration for a porous scaffold. The corresponding fundamental research of cell–biomaterial interactions is a central fundamental topic in biomaterials and regenerative medicine. Many techniques such as surface patterning have been employed to reveal the underlying science [87,89–94]. How to apply these principles into scaffolding, for instance, and how to control surface topography in the interior surfaces of a three-dimensional scaffold, remain as new challenging topics.

Another serious concern for the potential clinical applications of PLGA scaffolds and all other polyester medical materials is the adverse effect of the degradation products such as the aseptic inflammation in vivo. The underlying mechanism of the products to affect cell growth in vitro and in vivo is required to be revealed. In our opinion, the adverse effect of the degradation products of polyester seems to be exaggerated by some researchers, who have less knowledge of PLGA or intend to take PLGA as an imaginary less positive control for their own different materials in preparation of their grants and papers. As we know, serious inflammation of commercialized polyesters appears only in the cases of large amounts of implanting such as bone nail, etc. Even in the case of bone nail, serious inflammation happens just with a non-high probability, otherwise the polyester bone nail cannot be approved by the Food and Drug Administration of the USA, and the State Food and Drug Administration of China, and corresponding bureau in other countries, and be clinically applied in many hospitals every day. The adverse cell response is dependent on the amount of degradation products and the rate of the local fluid exchange. While the bone nail is a large solid implant, porous scaffolds contain much less polyester owing to the high porosity. The local fluid exchange is, also owing to high porosity of scaffolds, much accelerated. I would also like to stress here that a subcutaneous implanting usually results in a slower exchange rate than implanting into other sites such as joint cavities. So, one should be careful to take some negative results from a pre-experiment of subcutaneous implanting to simply predict the failure of a cartilage restoration. Very recently, our group measured the local pH of a block copolymer hydrogel composed of PEG and PLGA after subcutaneously injection. To our surprise, the local pH recovered to nearly neutral after 10 h although the initial pH as low as 4 [95]. So, even in the case of subcutaneous implanting, the body fluid exchange is faster than what we expected. In our opinion, the final conclusion might not be a simple deny or support, but an objective criterion based upon experimental tests or a series of criteria about which application case can or cannot be permitted. Hence, very careful and strong in vitro and in vivo tests are called for to collect sufficient data about the potential adverse effects.

Besides seeding cells and scaffold materials, successful tissue construction frequently requires the assistance of growth factors. The temporal and spatial releases of pertinent growth factors from porous scaffolds are also quite important, and corresponding material techniques need to be developed.

In summary, much progress for PLGA porous scaffolds, a specific physical form of a medical material, has been achieved in the latest decade along with the development of regenerative medicine. Future studies might be more focused upon the fundamental research of cell–material interactions, careful evaluation of the potential positive and adverse effects, the efficient and practical scaffolding and modification techniques based on these insights, and great efforts towards various clinical applications.
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