A new generation of optimized contrast agents is emerging, based on metallic nanoparticles (NPs) and semiconductor nanocrystals for, respectively, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent imaging techniques. Compared with established contrast agents, such as iron oxide NPs or organic dyes, these NPs benefit from several advantages: their magnetic and optical properties can be tuned through size, shape and composition engineering, their efficiency can exceed by several orders of magnitude that of contrast agents clinically used, their surface can be modified to incorporate specific targeting agents and antifolding polymers to increase blood circulation time and tumour recognition, and they can possibly be integrated in complex architecture to yield multi-modal imaging agents. In this review, we will report the materials of choice based on the understanding of the basic physics of NIR and MRI techniques and their corresponding syntheses as NPs. Surface engineering, water transfer and specific targeting will be highlighted prior to their first use for in vivo real-time imaging. Highly efficient NPs that are safer and target specific are likely to enter clinical application in a near future.

1. Introduction

Since the discovery, by chance, of X-ray potentiality by Roentgen in 1896, imaging techniques have been optimized and extended. Depending on the penetration depth, the spatial resolution and the sensitivity required, X-ray-computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or optical imaging, such as near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent imaging, may be selected as the most relevant imaging modality [1]. In all cases, enhancement of the signal-to-noise ratio, and thus of the sensitivity of these imaging techniques, requires the introduction of contrast agents, such as radioisotopes, paramagnetic molecules or more recently inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) [2]. The latter have benefited from tremendous advances during the last two decades notably in terms of available synthetic routes. In particular, the improvements in solution-phase approaches now allow easy and low-cost access (compared with classical physical or vacuum methods) to well-controlled nanoprobes. Prominent examples of imaging modalities which have profited from this intense research activity are MRI and NIR luminescence: a new generation of sophisticated nano-objects has reached such a stage of maturity, especially in terms of probe sensitivity, that their use has, on one hand, become standard practice in in vitro biology research and, on the other hand, holds promise for in vivo real-time detection.

This review intends to highlight these advances and developments that have occurred in the last few years for MRI and NIR luminescence detection. In the case of MRI, the upgrading of contrast agents based on oxide NPs to metallic NPs yields to strongly enhanced probe sensitivity. As will be detailed herein, this was achieved thanks (i) to the unprecedented magnetization related to the metallic
nature of the NPs and (ii) to the narrow-size distribution allowing homogeneous and intense magnetic properties from one NP to another. Similarly, in the case of NIR, impressive progress has been realized on the synthesis of quantum dots (QDs) able to absorb and emit efficiently in the NIR range of wavelength (where the transmissivity of organic tissue is maximum). Additionally, following the trend towards ‘greener’ chemistry, new versions of QDs free of Cd, Pb and Hg are now available. These significant advances have motivated this review dedicated to an up-to-date perspective on magnetic NPs and NIR-emitting QDs. Moreover, because of different features in terms of sensitivity, resolution, acquisition time and operational costs, fluorescence-based in vivo imaging techniques are complementary to MRI [1]. NIR enables faster measurements with higher sensitivity [1,3,4], while MRI ensures a higher spatial resolution and depth of penetration. Thus, very recent work focused on bimodal NPs, i.e. combining MRI and NIR modalities, will also be addressed as challenging perspective in view of designing more and more sensitive probes and/or multifunctional theranostic nano-objects (MRI contrast agents can also be used as heating centres in magnetic hyperthermia) [5].

After a general introduction on liquid-phase synthesis of NPs, we will present the basics of MRI and the general chemical synthesis for a new generation of optimized contrast agents. NIR fluorescence technique requirements will then be discussed, optimal material being highlighted. Corresponding type I and type II QD synthesis will be listed. MRI and NIR contrast agents being prepared mostly in organic solvants, we will review the surface engineering strategies to ensure the water contrast agents being prepared mostly in organic solvants. These significant advances have motivated this review dedicated to an up-to-date perspective on magnetic NPs and NIR-emitting QDs. Moreover, because of different features in terms of sensitivity, resolution, acquisition time and operational costs, fluorescence-based in vivo imaging techniques are complementary to MRI [1]. NIR enables faster measurements with higher sensitivity [1,3,4], while MRI ensures a higher spatial resolution and depth of penetration. Thus, very recent work focused on bimodal NPs, i.e. combining MRI and NIR modalities, will also be addressed as challenging perspective in view of designing more and more sensitive probes and/or multifunctional theranostic nano-objects (MRI contrast agents can also be used as heating centres in magnetic hyperthermia) [5].

After a general introduction on liquid-phase synthesis of NPs, we will present the basics of MRI and the general chemical synthesis for a new generation of optimized contrast agents. NIR fluorescence technique requirements will then be discussed, optimal material being highlighted. Corresponding type I and type II QD synthesis will be listed. MRI and NIR contrast agents being prepared mostly in organic solvants, we will review the surface engineering strategies to ensure the water transference of generic inorganic NPs and their further biodistribution and specific targeting. Up-to-date results of real-time in vivo MRI and NIR imaging obtained with the new generation of NPs will be reviewed before concluding with the requirements and the first promising attempt for NIR/MRI multi-functional NPs.

2. Liquid-phase synthesis of inorganic nanoparticles

This brief part aims at introducing the general principles of NP synthesis in solution to give the reader the keys to a deep understanding of the numerous synthesis examples presented hereafter (§§3.2 and 4.2). This review focuses on the chemical approach to NP formation particularly because of its ability to provide NP samples (i) of high quality and (ii) in adequate amounts for further biological use.

Colloidal solutions of NPs of various natures (metallic, semiconducting and oxides) can be prepared by a chemical approach. The general strategy followed relies on the decomposition of a precursor, typically a salt or an organometallic compound (figure 1). This decomposition yields free atoms that tend to aggregate and form small seeds during the nucleation step. Metallic NP synthesis may require the use of a reducing agent to convert oxidized atoms (M$^{n+}$) into metallic atoms (M$^0$). The seeds formed during the nucleation step then grow by successive addition of atoms, by coalescence or through a ripening based process [6,7]. To prevent NPs from aggregation and sintering, organic molecules (surfactants) or polymers are added to ensure steric hindrance or electrostatic repulsion between NPs.

Since physical properties depend on the size, size distribution and shape of NPs, nucleation and growth steps must be carefully tuned. Monodisperse NPs (with a narrow size distribution $\sigma < 10\%$) can be prepared via burst nucleation, fast and limited in time, followed by homogeneous growth [8]. The extent of nucleation and growth steps depends of experimental parameters, such as reaction time, temperature, nature of the precursors and surfactants; thus careful optimization of these parameters are usually required [9].

3. Magnetic resonance imaging principle and nanomaterials

In this section, we will first introduce the basics of MRI, highlighting the requirements for optimized contrast agents: (i) high magnetization materials, (ii) large magnetic core size, and (iii) core accessibility to water protons. Up-to-date syntheses of magnetic NPs will then be reviewed in the context of in vivo MRI, i.e. (i) metallic material preferred to oxides owing to their enhanced magnetization and (ii) narrow size distribution to ensure homogeneity of the magnetic properties.

3.1. Basics of magnetic resonance imaging

Clinical MRI is based on the relaxation of the nuclear spin of water protons in a strong magnetic field (1.5–3 T in hospitals, 9 T or greater in laboratories). Into such a static field $B_0$, spins tend to align parallel or antiparallel (figure 2a), and process at the Larmor frequency $\omega_0$ which is proportional to the field strength

$$\omega_0 = B_0 \gamma,$$

where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio (for hydrogen, $\gamma = 42.6$ MHz T$^{-1}$).

Once magnetized by the static field, protons can be excited through a perpendicular pulsed field $B_1$ (rotating around the $B_0$ axis at the Larmor frequency, i.e. in the radiofrequency (RF) range), which leads to a magnetization $M_{gy}$ that is
perpendicular to $B_0$ (figure 2b). After the removal of the RF field, spins tend to realign with $B_0$ following (figure 2) a longitudinal relaxation ($M_1$ increasing according to an exponential law, time constant $T_1$, figure 2d), and a transverse relaxation (exponential decay of $M_{xy}$, time constant $T_2$, figure 2c).

MR images are acquired while protons return to their equilibrium state, contrast being based on the difference in relaxation rate depending of their nature, they will increase more specifically in the presence of adequate contrast agents, is still required. However, dedicated cancer imaging may require an enhancement of the signal-to-noise ratio.

The static magnetic field applied can be increased to accelerate the precession of spins (equation (3.1)) and to slightly improve the excess proportion of spins aligned parallel (low energy state). As the signal is linked with the relative magnetization of tissues, i.e. the spin excess, enhancement could be reached. However, establishing a large homogeneous field on the whole body is not technologically easy, thus improvement in signal at fixed magnetic field strength (up to 3 T), through the modification of dipolar interactions (in first approximation $\propto 1/M_r^2$ [26]) may arise for ferromagnetic NPs, thus, to date, superparamagnetic NPs have been prevailing material for $in vivo$ applications. Recently, larger NPs with a peculiar magnetic configuration (vortex state) have been proposed for bioapplications [27]. These NPs exhibit very low magnetization in the absence of any applied field (weak $M_r$), resulting in weak interparticle interactions, but large volume and thus a presumably high relaxivity. Such vortex states are observed for the intermediate size range, typically 30–100 nm for iron [28,29].

In addition to size control, one can optimize $M_S$. Saturate magnetization is an intrinsic parameter of the material chosen and corresponds to the maximum magnetic moment that can be reached upon an applied field. Magnetic dopants, such as Mn, can be added to ferrite materials, leading to a slight increase in $M_S$ (table 1). To further enhance $M_S$, contrast agents affect both relaxation processes [10]. However, depending of their nature, they will increase more specifically the relaxation rate $R_1$ (positive contrast agent) or $R_2$ (negative contrast agent). Paramagnetic NPs (mainly gadolinium(III) complexes) have been widely used as positive contrast agents in clinical applications [11–13]. However, high doses of these toxic metal ions are required due to the relatively low efficiency of Gd-based contrast agents. For the last 20 years, NPs, including iron oxide NPs, have received lots of interest as efficient non-toxic $R_2$ contrast agents [14].

Magnetic NPs are small magnets that generate a magnetic field in their vicinity (figure 3). Such field inhomogeneity accelerates the phase decoherence of the spins [15]. Therefore, NPs act as negative contrast agents, their efficiency being quantified through the normalized relaxivity $r_2 = R_2/\text{concentration (mMol}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1})$. In a first approximation, relaxivity varies as the square of the magnetic field induced in the vicinity of the water proton. The magnetic field is a short-distance perturbation, which vanishes quickly

$$ r \propto d^{-6} (M_S V)^2, \quad (3.2) $$

where $d$ is the distance of the proton-centre of the magnetic NP, $M_S$ the saturation magnetization and $V$ the volume.

The accessibility of the water proton to the NP surface is of particular importance due to the $d^{-6}$ decrease in $r$. Therefore, from a magnetic point of view, the coating of the magnetic core, which ensures the biostability of the inorganic NPs as described in §4, should be as thin as possible to yield high relaxivity (table 1). However, biostability often requires a relatively large coating, as discussed in §4; thus, a delicate balance should be found regarding the corona shell thickness.

In order to improve the relaxivity, larger NPs could also be used [24,25] (table 1), but size affects the magnetic behaviour of NPs. Small NPs (below 12 nm for Fe) behave as paramagnetic species (superparamagnetism): they can be easily magnetized under $B_0$ and do not exhibit magnetization in the absence of magnetic field (remnant state $M_r = 0$). Larger NPs are ferromagnetic and exhibit a net magnetization ($M_r \neq 0$). This difference of behaviour affects the stability of NPs in solution through the modification of dipolar interactions (in first approximation $\propto M_r^2$ [26]). Aggregation of the ferrofluid may arise for ferromagnetic NPs, thus, to date, superparamagnetic NPs have been prevailing material for $in vivo$ applications.

In addition to size control, one can optimize $M_S$. Saturate magnetization is an intrinsic parameter of the material chosen and corresponds to the maximum magnetic moment that can be reached upon an applied field. Magnetic dopants, such as Mn, can be added to ferrite materials, leading to a slight increase in $M_S$ (table 1). To further enhance $M_S$,
metals, such as Fe or Co, should be preferred to their oxide counterparts (table 1). Though contrast agents used clinically are nowadays only based on superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs [30], the design of optimized contrast agents would benefit from metallic NPs, providing that these NPs do not exhibit toxicity [31]. Thus, we will focus our discussion on the recent strategies developed to synthesize and protect metallic NPs with optimized properties.

### 3.2. Synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles

Multiple strategies have been developed to synthesize monodispersed iron oxide NPs (maghemite γ-Fe₂O₃ or magnetite Fe₃O₄), either in aqueous [32] or in organic solvents [33]. Size control could be reached by the decomposition of organometallic precursors at high temperature in the presence of long alkyl chain surfactants [34,35], further synthesis information being found in recent review articles [36,37]. Concerning magnetic dopants, they can be added in the spinel structure by co-reduction at high temperature of organometallic precursors, such as Mn(acac)₂ and Fe(acac)₃ [38].

Here, we will focus our attention on synthesis of metallic NPs, promising higher magnetization, as explained previously. Iron being highly reactive towards oxidation, metallic Fe NPs were mainly prepared in organic solvents (table 2) [56]. Classically, Fe(CO)₅ was decomposed at high temperature in the presence of long-chain amines [39,57]. Though highly toxic, this precursor benefited from Fe atoms already reduced (oxidation degree 0); therefore no reducing agents were required. However, the magnetic properties of the NPs obtained were generally lower than the bulk value, owing to their intrinsic carburation from CO byproduct [58]. To prevent such carburation, Fe(CO)₅ can be replaced by iron salts or organometallic compounds, whose decomposition did not yield any carbon source. Owing to their high stability, the decomposition and reduction of iron salts required harsh conditions. For instance, FeCl₂ could be reduced in water by sodium borohydride, but boron contamination has been found to decrease the NP moment [18]. Therefore, decomposition of organometallic compounds such as Fe(C₅H₅)(C₆H₇)Cl [19] or Fe[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ [42,59] under dihydrogen atmosphere could be preferred to yield unoxidized iron NPs in mild conditions (bulk magnetization). Owing to its high reactivity, the latter amido precursor could also be reduced solely by a long-chain amine in the absence of H₂ [60]. The optimization of the nucleation and growth steps, through the tuning of experimental parameters (temperature and surfactants), yielded Fe NPs with unprecedented size and shape control in the range 1–100 nm (figure 4a,b).

Cobalt NPs can be prepared following similar procedures. Co₂(CO)₈ could be decomposed at high temperature to yield monodisperse NPs [44,62]. As observed for Fe, the binding of –CO species at the NPs’ surface decreased their magnetic properties [63]. Cobalt salt (CoCl₂) can be reduced with a strong reducing agent (superhydride LiEt₃BH) and yield NPs of the ε-Co crystalline phase with degraded magnetic properties prior to annealing treatment [45]. Co can crystallize in a hexagonal close packed structure which exhibits optimized magnetic properties. Benefitting from the crystallographic unicity of the c-axis, intensive research has been devoted to the synthesis of anisotropic Co NPs. Polyl synthesis [46] along organometallic approaches [47,48] yielded single crystalline Co nanorods with a relatively narrow size distribution (figure 4e). Though nanorods have never been tested for MRI purposes, they could potentially present high relaxivity, analogous to elongated Co@Au nanowontons, prepared through physical process [21] (table 1).

To further enhance the magnetic moment, Fe or Co could be replaced by FeCo NPs, providing that highly crystalline NPs with optimized composition (60% Fe and 40% Co)

### Table 1. \( r_2 \) relaxivities of magnetic NPs. CLIO: cross-linked iron oxide NPs, used in clinical applications. SPM, superparamagnetic; FM, ferromagnetic; DMSA, 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid; PEG, polyethylenglycol; TMAOH, tetramethylammonium hydroxide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>( M_s ) (emu/gmetal)</th>
<th>Core size (nm)</th>
<th>Magnetic state</th>
<th>Organic corona</th>
<th>( r_2 ) (s⁻¹ mM⁻¹)</th>
<th>( B_0 ) (T)</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLIO-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>DMSA</td>
<td>PEG PEI</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnFe₂O₄</td>
<td>~60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DMSA</td>
<td>PEG PEI</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>DMSA</td>
<td>PEG PEI</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>PEG PEI</td>
<td>DMSA</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe@Fe₂O₄</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>DMSA</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@Au</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30–90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10²</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo@C</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>TMAOH</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Overview of the chemical approaches for the synthesis of metallic NPs. OA, oleic acid; OY, oleylamine; HDAHCl, hexadecylammonium chloride; NaOA, sodium oleate; PA, palmitic acid; HDA, hexadecylamine; LA, lauric acid; DDA, dodecylamine; PMMA, polymethylmethacrylate; PEG, polyethyleneglycol; TOP, trioctylphosphine; TOPO, trioctylphosphine oxide; DOA, dioctylamine; SA, Stearic acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material</th>
<th>precursor</th>
<th>method</th>
<th>surfactants</th>
<th>temperature (°C)</th>
<th>core size (nm)</th>
<th>( M_s ) (emu/gmetal)</th>
<th>refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Fe(CO)(_5)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition</td>
<td>OA + OY</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>6–11</td>
<td>132–200</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Fe(CO)(_5)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition</td>
<td>HDAHCl-OY</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Fe(oleate)(_3)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition</td>
<td>OA + NaOA</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>(Fe(N(Si(CH(_3))(_3))(_2))(_2)</td>
<td>polyol</td>
<td>LA + DDA</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30–80</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>Co(_2)(CO)(_8)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition</td>
<td>OA + TOPO</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10–16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>CoCl(_2)</td>
<td>reduction—LiEt(_3)BH</td>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>85–184</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>Co(laurate)(_2)</td>
<td>polyol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>10 × 100</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>Co(H(_3)C(_8)H(_13))(H(_4)C(_8)H(_12))</td>
<td>reduction—H(_2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13,7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>Co(H(_3)C(_8)H(_13))(H(_4)C(_8)H(_12))</td>
<td>reduction—H(_2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>9 × 40</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo</td>
<td>Co(_2)(CO)(_8) + Fe(CO)(_5)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition</td>
<td>OA + OY + DOA</td>
<td>160–250</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo</td>
<td>Co(_2)(CO)(_8) + Fe(CO)(_5)</td>
<td>interdiffusion CoFe</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt</td>
<td>Co(acac)(_2) + Fe(acac)(_3)</td>
<td>reduction—H(_2) Diol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt</td>
<td>Pt(acac)(_2) + Fe(CO)(_5)</td>
<td>thermodecomposition + reduction Diol</td>
<td>OA + OY</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt</td>
<td>Pt(acac)(_2) + Fe(acac)(_3)</td>
<td>polyl</td>
<td>HDD</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt</td>
<td>Pt(acac)(_2) + FeCl(_2)</td>
<td>reduction—LiEt(_3)H</td>
<td>OA + OY</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
could be prepared. However, the synthesis has been very challenging because of the difference in reactivity of Fe and Co precursors, yielding a core-shell structure (Co@Fe) with reduced magnetic properties [51]. In order to favour the interdiffusion of Fe and Co, annealing treatments (from 250°C [49,50] to 500°C [51]) were performed, yielding bcc-FeCo NPs with saturation magnetization up to 220 emu/g FeCo [51]. Though chemically prepared FeCo NPs have never been tested for MRI purposes, high $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxivities without any obvious cytotoxicity could be expected as reported for bcc-FeCo NPs prepared via an arc-discharge method [22]. Lately, Pt-based alloys (FePt and CoPt) have also been investigated for biomedical applications. Initially developed for magnetic recording purposes due to the high magnetic anisotropy of tetragonally ordered structures (L10-phase) [53], FePt combines an enhanced magnetization compared with iron oxides (125 emu/g FePt [64]) and a high cytotoxicity, making them potent anti-cancer drug due to the leaching of Pt ions in biological condition [65].

4. Near-infrared detection principle and near-infrared luminescent semiconductor nanocrystals

In this section, we will first present the basis of NIR imaging and in particular the relevancy (i) of the NIR wavelength window for a high signal to background ratio (thanks to the high transmissivity of the organic tissue in this range of wavelengths) and (ii) of the QDs compared with other fluorescent probes. Then, we will focus on the existing synthetic routes with an emphasis on the development of non-toxic semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs) as an alternative to Cd-, Pb- and Hg-based QDs. These approaches will be examined in the context of preparing NCs well suited for in vivo imaging, i.e. (i) small size to facilitate circulation and clearance and (ii) narrow-size distribution and brightness to provide efficient detection at QDs concentration as low as possible.

4.1. Basics of near-infrared luminescence imaging

4.1.1. Context

Among the various modalities for bioimaging, fluorescence imaging is of special interest because of its low-cost, high-sensitivity, high-spatial resolution and ease to implement (just with a low-light camera). In the case of real-time in vivo detection, the fundamental barriers to fluorescence imaging of a tissue are auto-fluorescence, high light scattering and absorption of the different tissue and blood components: water, haemoglobin, melanin, proteins, etc. (figure 5) [66]. These endogenous absorbers possess high absorption characteristics between 200 and 650 nm and thus prevent light penetrating deep into the tissues in the visible range. Moreover, the tissue auto-fluorescence also limits the signal-to-background ratio and hampers the detection of the fluorescent imaging agent. These intrinsic limitations have stimulated, in the last few years, the development of fluorescent probes with absorption and emission maxima in the 650–1450 nm range, where tissues have minimal absorbance and fluorescence [66–68].

As a general guideline, ideal fluorescent probes should also fulfil the following requirements [69]:

— stability in biological media;
— long fluorescence lifetimes for an efficient temporal discrimination from scattered excitation light;
— high brightness (product of high quantum yield, i.e. high number of emitted photons per number of absorbed photons and high extinction coefficient);
The organic fluorescent dyes (cyanines, pyrroles- or porphyrins-based cycles, squaraines and borondipyrromethene classes) [66], which were historically first used in NIR biodetection [70], are far from ideal probes. In the far-red/NIR wavelength range, they suffer from rather low quantum yield, photobleaching (making them unsuitable for extended periods of observation) and broad emission spectra, which create overlapping detection ranges (inadequate for simultaneous multi-colour applications) [66,71]. Even if remarkable progress has been made to improve the performance of dyedoped silica NPs and to develop new probes such as up-converting NPs [72,73] or noble metal (gold and silver) nanoclusters [5,74], the optical performances (especially QYs) are not yet competitive enough.

Among the NIR fluorescent probes [5], QDs offer currently the best optical properties and appear as a very powerful and essential tool in biodetection. Indeed, they exhibit large molar extinction coefficients, high QY (greater than 50%), narrow and symmetric photoluminescence (PL) emission bands, size-tunable emission and absorption spectra, large two-photon action cross section and high photostability [69]. However, QDs suffer from the drawback of blinking (intermittence in light emission), which is problematic in the case of single molecule tracking [75], instability of QY in different media and possible emission variability due to slight size modification between chemical batches.

4.2. Luminescent semiconductor nanocrystals: chemical synthesis of NIR-emitting core nanocrystals

The literature reports a large variety of Cd-, Pb- or Hg-based QDs for which the synthetic routes can be generally classified in two families, according the synthetic medium (aqueous or organic). Different protocols have been described in water to produce II–VI semiconductor nanocrystals, such as CdTe, CdSe, Te, Te, Cd, Hg, Hg, Te or Cd Hg, Te or Cd, Hg, Te or Cd, Hg, Se, Te or Cd, Hg, Se QDs [103]. The major advantages of this aqueous medium approach are (i) the environmentally friendly medium, (ii) the ease to scale up to gram scale amounts for commercial purpose and (iii) the aqueous compatibility for applications, in particular biomedical imaging [104]. However, the size distribution is often broad and post-preparative procedures (size-selective precipitation) are required [80].

In this context, the development of the alternative hot-injection method, i.e. the synthesis of NCs in high-temperature boiling organic solvents is considered as one of the main milestones in the chemistry of QDs. The temporal separation of the nucleation and the growth of the seeds gave access to high-quality NCs with narrow-size distribution (5–10% s.d.) without laborious size-selective precipitation procedure. Since the first published articles (Murray et al. [105] for CdE (E = S, Se, Te)), synthetic improvements have allowed an ongoing trend towards simpler and safer procedures (replacement of the hazardous [106] or expensive chemicals [107], decrease in reaction temperature to approx. 100°C [108]). Moreover, the widespread success of the hot-injection strategy is also largely because of its versatility, in particular in terms of chemical variety. The extension of the list of semiconductor
materials to high quality (in terms of monodispersity and optical emission) IV–VI QDs such as PbE (E = S [86], Se [109], Te [110]) is one of the valuable consequences [111]. Finally, emerging materials such as II3–V2 are also worth citing: for instance, a room temperature procedure has recently been developed to yield Cd3P2 QDs that emit in the NIR region [112] and can be envisioned for biodetection. It should also be noted that in case of mercury-based NCs, the aqueous strategy remains the best-suited and almost exclusive approach [103,111].

In the context of in vivo applications, the issue of metabolic clearance is still an open and unresolved question. To avoid any dilemma, one strategy is to extend the NC family towards more environmentally friendly elements than Cd, Pb or Hg [113]. We have chosen to detail this attractive perspective in §4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.

4.2.1. Synthesis of Pb-free IV–VI semiconductors nanocrystals (GeE, SnE, E = S, Se, Te)

While the use of precisely controlled reaction conditions (air- and water-free atmosphere) can be seen as a major drawback when aiming at simple and straightforward procedures, these requirements offer, on the other hand, the opportunity to

---

**Figure 6.** Electronic energy states of a semiconductor in the transition from discrete molecules to nanosized crystals and bulk crystals. Shading denotes ground-state electron occupation. (Adapted from [77]. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.) (Online version in colour.)

**Figure 7.** Composition, size and wavelength ranges of reported NIR-emitting QDs prepared via solution-based methodologies. Emission wavelengths reported for constant size NCs containing different proportions of elements are represented with broken lines rectangle. The references used for the figure are the following: II–VI [79–84], IV–VI [85–89], III–V [90–93], II3–V2 [94,95], I–III–VI2 [96,97], IV [98,99] and I2–VI [100,101]. (Online version in colour.)
introduce novel precursors yielding unexplored materials for NCs, such as the tin and germanium chalcogenides [114]. The preparation of SnTe NCs [115] using the sophisticated tin complex bis[bis(trimethylsilyl)amino]tin(II) (Sn[N(SiMe3)2]2) and trioctylphosphine telluride was the starting shot towards materials involving these lighter elements of the Pb group. Today, a large variety of compositions (GeS, GeSe, GeTe, Ge2Sn2−xSex, SnS, SnSe, etc.) and morphologies (sphere, sheet and needle) with tunable band gap in the NIR region is accessible [114]. Although the emission properties have not yet been explored, tin and germanium monochalcogenide represent very promising materials which deserve to be tested in the context of biodetection.

4.2.2. Synthesis of III–V semiconductors nanocrystals
(InP and InAs)

Following the same tendency towards ‘greener’ material, III–V semiconductors are generally viewed as a highly relevant alternative. This is in particular the case for InP NCs which have been rapidly identified as one of the most promising alternatives to Cd- and Pb-based QDs and have been the focus of the majority of the research efforts devoted to III–V QDs. InP NCs were first prepared in 1994 [116] following, at the time recently published, the hot-injection procedure developed for CdE (E = S, Se, Te) QDs with indium oxide and tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphine (P(SiMe3)3). Longer reaction times (3–7 days) were required to yield good crystallinity. The progress towards easy, reliable and controlled synthesis (i.e. in non-coordinating solvent) followed that of their II–VI analogues [117], but remains one step behind, particularly for the size control. The preparation of QDs larger than 5 nm is still a challenging question. This issue is of central importance because absorption wavelengths higher to 650 nm are at the upper limit of the current attainable range with InP [90]. It has recently been shown that the formation of a mixed oxide shell InPOx at the surface of the NCs is probably the reason of this growth inhibition. This is a consequence of the oxophilic character of phosphorus and of a side reaction of the carboxylate ligand occurring at the temperature (230°C–300°C) required for the formation of NCs, which generates oxidative conditions in the reaction medium [118]. However, the doping strategy proved to be successful and allow emission wavelengths up to 1100 nm to be reached [91]. InAs NCs were also prepared following the hot-injection method, usually by the reaction of As(SiMe3)3 and indium chloride [119] or acetate [117]. In the latter case, the QD size can be controlled to access a range of emission wavelengths well suited for biodetection purposes, i.e. between 700 and 1400 nm, with diameters approximately less than 6 nm [93]. As an example, InAs NPs of 3.2 nm diameter emitting at 750 nm can be easily cleared from the body [120].

4.2.3. Other semiconductors (IV, I–V and I–III–VI2 types) for NIR-emitting nanocrystals

Over the last decade, significant progress has been made concerning the chemistry of NCs of materials as alternatives to II–VI, IV–VI and III–V. So far, two main families have been the focus of the majority of research efforts: elemental group IV (Si, Ge) semiconductors and I–III–VI2 chalcopryte types such as Culn2E (E = S, Se) or AgInS2 [96]. In the latter case, the synthetic strategy is essentially the same as the one developed for the hot-injection method for II–VI, IV–VI and III–V materials, i.e. in coordinating (TOPO) [121] or non-coordinating (dioctylphthalate [122], ODE [123]) solvents. Concerning group IV element NCs, the synthesis is far less developed. Two main solution strategies exist and involve either strong reducing agents (zintl salts, LiAlH4, K/Na alloy) and/or high-temperature procedures (usually supercritical thermolysis condition) [98]. An exception to this rule are the approaches developed by the Boyle [124] and Klimov [99] groups, who took advantage of the reactivity of Ge(II) precursors using hot-injection approach. Very recently, silver chalcogenide Ag2E [101] and in particular silver sulfide Ag2S [125] were identified as promising materials for NIR-emitting QDs. For these NCs, both aqueous [100,126,127] and organic [101,125,128] solvent approaches were developed with similar results in terms of size distribution and optical quality, which opens the way for in vivo studies [100,126].

5. Surface modification and probe development

In view of their applications for biological imaging, NPs cannot be use directly after their synthesis for two main reasons. First, because nano-objects have high surface to volume ratios, a large fraction of the constituent atoms are located on the surface. These atoms are incompletely bonded within the crystal lattice, thus disrupting the crystal-line periodicity and leaving one or more ‘dangling orbital’ on each atom pointed outward from the crystal. While partially stabilized through dative ligand–metal bonds, the organic passivation is generally insufficient to provide inertness to the biological medium and/or to air. These surface atoms, indeed, remain highly reactive and are usually prone to oxidation. A general strategy to improve NPs’ surface passivation is the overgrowth of a protecting shell around the core, affording chemical stability and preservation of the physical properties. A second requirement aiming at exploiting the magnetic or luminescent properties for biodetection is the solubility of the NPs in physiological media. As discussed in the previous sections, the NPs of best quality are generally produced in non-polar solutions using aliphatic coordinating ligand making them insoluble in water. In this context, the phase transfer is an essential but non-trivial step before envisioning their use for biodetection. Finally, the surface of these water-soluble C/S NPs often needs to be engineered for in vivo applications in order to increase the circulation time in the blood stream and enhance their targeting efficiency. The final object is then composed (as depicted in figure 8) of an inorganic core with optimized physical properties, surrounded by an inorganic shell and an organic corona composed of hydrophilic ligands and targeting molecules.

5.1. Coating the active core

5.1.1. Magnetic nanoparticles

Contrarily to iron oxide- and ferrite-based NPs, metallic NPs, which exhibit optimized magnetic properties, are highly reactive towards oxidation. Under air exposure, drastic decrease in the magnetization was reported for Fe [20,129] and FeCo NPs [51]. Under acidic environment, as encountered by NPs during cell uptake within lysosomes, metallic core disintegrates releasing M++ ions [130] or M+ radicals [131], which can lead to the apoptosis of the host cells [130]. Therefore, the outgrowth of a passivating shell is a mandatory step prior to the use of metallic NPs as optimized contrast agents for MRI.
Owing to its inertness and its low toxicity [132], gold would be a perfect candidate for such a protective shell. Though promising results have been reported through the transmetalation process [133], the chemical growth of a continuous shell on pre-formed metallic NPs is challenging, as recently reviewed [134]. Self nucleation of gold yields hybrid objects composed of metallic NPs decorated with small Au islands [135]. Thus, multi-step physical approaches (lithographic process, multiple metal evaporations), though time consuming, have so far been preferred for Co@Au NPs tested for MRI [21].

Oxide shells are alternative candidates that benefit from (i) fairly low toxicity levels [136] and (ii) simple chemical strategies. The simplest choice relies on the oxide shell which naturally grows at the surface of the metallic core exposed in air. For instance, the native CoO shell is an efficient passivating layer for a Co core. In the case of Fe, the stability of Fe@Fe3O4 requires a crystalline oxide shell [129]. While such a shell is naturally grown on a single crystalline Fe core [20] a controlled oxidation process at high temperature is required for amorphous Fe core [129]. Though CoO and crystalline Fe3O4 confer air-stability, these shells are stable in aqueous media only in a selected pH and ionic strength range. Therefore, the SiO2 coating, owing to its remarkable stability in water, has been extensively studied [137]. Silica coating is often grown through water-in-oil microemulsion which may induce oxidation of the metallic core, thus alternative approaches based on non-alcoholic solvents should be preferred [138,139]. Additionally, silica shell grown in liquid phase is generally not fully condensed (incomplete hydrolysis and condensation steps). Thus, post-treatments (annealing as example) are required to make it denser and to prevent a possible permeation to oxygen or any leaching of metallic ions from the core. To the best of our knowledge, such M@SiO2 NPs (M = metal) with a well-condensed shell have not been tested so far in vitro or in vivo.

Finally, a graphitic shell can also be grown to stabilize a metallic core either through the calcination of the surrounding ligands [51] or through chemical vapour deposition [22]. Such a shell has the advantages of being thin, functionalizable and, to date, no negative health problems have been detected [22]. However, coating of highly reactive Fe NPs should be tested to decide on the efficiency of such C shells towards oxidation.

5.1.2. Quantum dots

In the case of QDs, the role of the shell goes well beyond the sole function of protecting against oxidation and photo-oxidation. The dangling orbitals of the under-coordinated atoms located at the surface may form energy states (‘surface energy state’), which quench luminescence through non-radiative decay paths (figure 9c) [77]. When coating, the dangling bonds are passivated with atoms of the shell, resulting in the dramatic enhancement of the PL efficiency. As an example, the QY of naked InP NCs is generally inferior to 0.1 per cent but after being coated with ZnS, the QY of the resulting InP/ZnS C/S QDs increases up to 22 per cent [141]. In the majority of cases, the materials chosen for the shell are semiconductors which have a wider band gap than those of the core (C/S system called type I structure) in order to provide electronic insulation thanks to the confinement of both electrons and holes in the core (figure 9b). A representative (but not exhaustive) list of commonly encountered compositions for NIR-emitting C/S NCs is CdTe/MS (M = Zn, Cd), HgTe/CdS, PbSe/PbS, PbSe/CdSe, InP/ZnE (E = S, Se), InAs-InP, InAs/GaAs, InAs/CdSe, CdP2/ZnS, CuInSe/ZnSe (E = S, Se) [96,102,112]. For bioapplications, the shell is generally composed of non-toxic elements (e.g. ZnS, prepared with zinc stearate and dodecanethiol) to minimize potential toxic effects by preventing the leaching of elements from the core [102]. A general requirement for obtaining highly luminescent C/S QDs is the epitaxial growth of the shell and, thus, the use of a material which crystallizes in the same structure as that of the core and has small lattice mismatch with it [142]. The shelling of the QDs is achieved through the slow addition (in order to avoid side-nucleation and formation of separate NCs composed of the shell materials) of the shell precursors into a solution of the purified core. An example of this kind of C/S architecture is InAs, P1-x-InP/ZnSe alloyed QDs for which ZnSe was chosen for its better lattice match to InP than ZnS [92].

Interestingly, by choosing the appropriate shell material, it is possible to tune the emission at wavelengths that cannot be achieved with either of the two materials (those of core and shell) alone. In this type II system, both the valence and the conduction bands of the shell are lower (or higher) than in the core. As a consequence, this band alignment leads to a smaller effective band gap than either the core or the shell material (figure 9c). This approach is particularly relevant for attaining the NIR window: for instance, the emission wavelength of the CdTe/CdSe and CdSe/ZnTe C/S heterostructures could be tuned in the NIR range, while these emission wavelengths would not be reached by increasing the size of the respective CdTe or CdSe cores [143]. In general, type II C/S QDs have low QY and low photochemical stabilities because one charge (either the electron or the hole) localized in the shell is in direct contact with the surrounding medium and, thus, similar reactions to those of naked QDs may occur [134]. These two properties can be improved by coating with another semiconductor material to yield a core multi-shell structure [102].

Last, blinking of QDs which is highly detrimental to their use for single molecule tracking [75] can be suppressed by coating with thick shells (i.e. 20 monolayers) yielding a final diameter of approximately 15 nm [144]. The major
drawback is the large size, which may be inappropriate for the observation of molecular phenomenon [75], but this problem has been shown to be possibly circumvented when a composition-graded interface exists between the core and the shell in the more compact (8 nm) CdSe/ZnSe QDs [145].

5.2. Phase transfer and surface modification

5.2.1. Phase transfer, water stability, stealth

Phase transfer becomes an important issue once optimized functional NPs have been prepared in organic solvent; different strategies have already been reviewed [146,147] and can be divided, in a first approximation, between two generic approaches (figure 10):

— ligand exchange: hydrophobic surfactants are replaced by a bifunctional ligand presenting at one end, a function holding a stronger affinity with the NP surface than the initial ligand and, at the other side, a hydrophilic ending. To enhance the binding affinity and favour a complete replacement of the hydrophobic surfactants, polydentate ligands, such as dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA), are often used [16]. This ligand exchange approach is particularly useful to stabilize water-soluble NPs with small hydrodynamic size (less than 20 nm), but may lead to particle aggregation and loss of optical properties for QDs [147]. This problem is commonly encountered for C/S QDs such as CdSe/ZnS [148]. InP/ZnS [149] or the other NIR-emitting material CuInS₂/ZnS [150]. Recently, Reiss and co-workers [150] have given insight on the precise mechanism, attributing the quenching to hole transfer from the QDs to the capping ligand (cysteine) resulting in the formation of the cysteine dimer (cystine); and

— copolymer addition: amphiphilic surfactants are added, their hydrophobic tails form weak interactions with the existing hydrophobic coating while their hydrophilic...
receptor-mediated endocytosis. Such tumour surrounding vicinity of tumour cells by EPR effect, NP grafted with active targeting strategies were developed. Driven to the encountered in biological media. Optimized [157]. Though contradictory, these results might another study shows that cationic (aminodextran) NPs were better cell uptake than cationic or uncharged NPs [156], anionic NPs (citrate) have been reported as exhibiting a ling but controversial results have been found. While strict size-dependent diffusion. While ultra-small particles (ca 5 nm) are quickly excreted by the kidney, intermediate NPs (15–100 nm) exhibit longer circulation time [152], larger NPs (greater than 100 nm) being more susceptible to opsonization (uptake by the immune defence system). Thus, the functionalization strategy must be carefully chosen in order to reach the optimized window of hydrodynamic size (15–100 nm).

In addition to the hydrodynamic size effect, the pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of NPs is driven by surface coating. Lifetime in blood circulation strongly depends on the interaction of the NPs with plasma proteins (opsonins). Accumulations of opsonins are recognized by the reticuloendothelial system, and phagocytosis by macrophage grafting of PEG on the surface of NPs may inhibit protein interaction and prolong blood circulation time [153]. This effect strongly depends on the conformation of the PEG chains at the NPs surface, which evolves from mushroom to brush configurations for longer PEG chain (greater than or equal to 2000 Da) and higher surface density [153]. While brush configurations generate greater protein repulsion, it may also lower the NP targeting efficiency due to steric shielding of the specific agents [154]. Therefore, targeting agents should be conjugated to a PEG-spacer of similar length to stand at the outmost exterior of the NPs, lying above the organic corona [153].

5.2.2. Cancer cell targeting
Numerous reports have shown that most NPs accumulate in tumours due to enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect, which results from relatively large and permeable blood vessels along with poor lymphatic drainage of tumour cells [153]. For instance, iron oxide NPs were successfully used to diagnose cancer without any targeting agents [155]. Such a cancer cell uptake strategy is referred to as passive targeting and let us consider the possibility of universal labelling. Charged NPs have been tested for universal labelling but controversial results have been found. While anionic NPs (citrate) have been reported as exhibiting a better cell uptake than cationic or uncharged NPs [156], another study shows that cationic (aminodextran) NPs were optimized [157]. Though contradictory, these results might be explained by the tendency of charged NPs to be destabilized under harsh pH and ionic strength environments, as encountered in biological media.

In order to enhance the selective internalization of NPs, active targeting strategies were developed. Driven to the vicinity of tumour cells by EPR effect, NP grafted with adequate moieties would be internalized faster through receptor-mediated endocytosis. Such tumour surrounding clearance generates a diffusion gradient which can favour further NP flow, and, thus, the accumulation of NP within the tumour region [153]. One of the main challenges of active targeting is the design of adequate targeting moieties. Indeed, tumour cells exhibit receptors fairly similar to the surrounding healthy cells, the difference being their relative abundance. Therefore, targeting agents must specifically bind to overexpressed receptors. Small molecules, peptides, proteins, aptamers and antibodies have demonstrated high affinity with receptors overexpressed in malignant cells as previously reviewed [2,30,153]. A key issue during the grafting of such moieties onto the NP surface is to maintain their affinity for receptors. Their density, accessibility and conformation should be preserved; thus, spacers are often added to avoid shielding and denaturing of the ligand.

6. Applications
In this part, we aim to illustrate the recent progress in MRI and NIR in vivo real-time imaging due to the use of the new generation of magnetic NPs and QDs. The last part will aim to present the recent very first attempts to combine of both properties in multi-modal single nano-objects and the promises of this strategy.

6.1. Magnetic resonance imaging in vivo
In this part, we aim to illustrate the recent progress in in vivo MRI due to the use of the new generation of magnetic NPs. The main objective is to evaluate the potentialities of the latter to favour further developments in this field of application. Reviews on MRI using ‘classical’ MNPs (SPIO and USPIO) can be referred to for comparison purposes; they generally describe in vivo MRI experiments dealing with cancer, cardiovascular disease or molecular imaging [158]. Here, we only report examples of MRI improvements due to new contrast agents. Moreover, we would like to mention studies that directly compare MNPs whose magnetic materials were of different nature, but coating and functionalization were identical. This way, the other parameters that necessarily impact the MRI response (coating thickness, bio-distribution, etc.) can be considered unchanged.

As previously explained, the main reason for working on magnetic composition of contrast agents is to enhance contrast on MR images. In a recent study reported by Cheong et al. [19], it was shown that Fe/FeOx core/shell NPs improve by a factor 2 the contrast in T2-weighted MR images of mice nodes compared with pure FeOx NPs. Interestingly, they allow for a much better in vivo detection of small tumours (1–3 mm) at 1.5 T [19]. In vivo probing of small cancers (approx. 50 mg) with manganese ferrite NPs coated with DMSA and conjugated with the cancer-targeting antibody Herceptin was also successful, as reported by Cheon’s group [16]. In contrast, the analogue pure iron oxide NPs did not allow such performances. The authors attribute this promising result to the higher saturation magnetization of the manganese ferrite NPs. Developed by Chen et al., the 12 nm FePt NPs conjugated to the anti-Her2 monoclonal antibody showed significant contrast enhancement in both MRI and CT, thus taking advantage of their magnetic properties and the high X-ray absorption of platinum [159]. Indeed, 24 h after injection (28 mM Fe concentration, 100 μl) into mice bearing transplanted MBT2
tumours, the MR images showed 51 per cent intensity reduction on the tumour lesion while CT image analysis revealed 138 per cent contrast enhancement. In addition, the biodistribution of the non-functionalized FePt NPs evaluated on mice suggest that they could be cleared from the body after about one week.

The FeCo@graphitic carbon (GC) NCs prepared by Dai and co-workers [22] showed unusually higher $r_1$ and $r_2$ values than conventional Gd and iron oxide-based contrast agents. As an example, they allowed for positive contrast enhancement on $T_1$-weighted MR images of the blood pool in rabbits (figure 11).

This was 30 min after NP injection using a metal dose of only 10 per cent of what was typically used for existing Gd agents (metal dose approx. 9.6 µmol kg$^{-1}$ for the approx. 5 kg rabbit). The authors claim that this represents an important achievement in MRI contrast-agent development; long-circulating positive contrast enhancement at low metal dosages in vivo is indeed particularly interesting for angiographic MR images.

The Co@Au nanowontons reported by Bouchard et al. [21] were dual-modality MRI/photoacoustic tomography (PAT) contrast agents. Even when injected in mice muscle leg at a concentration as low as 50 pM, a negative contrast was clearly detected on $T_2$-weighted spin echo MR images (figure 12). Interestingly, this degree of sensitivity approaches that of radioactive labels. PAT detection was also conclusive as observed for an injection of 100 pM of nanowontons in the tail of a rat.

### 6.2. Luminescence

Thanks to their remarkable features, NIR-emitting QDs have become one of the mainstays of in vivo imaging modalities [160]. This success story started a decade ago with the real-time mapping of sentinel lymph nodes in mouse and pig, using type II C/S CdTe/CdSe QDs [161]. Since this significant achievement, progress has been made in several main domains: biodistribution of QDs, tumour imaging, tracking of cells and vascular imaging (blood vessel and lymphatic circulatory system) [104,160].

Unfortunately, these results have been obtained using toxic elements such as Cd, Pb, Hg or As. The toxicity concerns associated with this family of materials have fuelled research for their replacement with non-toxic elements. The relevancy of these materials (CuInE$_2$/ZnS [97,162–165], InP/ZnS [166], Ag$_2$E [100,126] with E = S, Se) for in vivo NIR imaging has been proved in very recent years in the context of biodistribution [163], tumour [162,166] and lymph imaging [97,164]. The lower in vivo toxicity of these alternative material QDs has been examined and experimentally confirmed for CuInS$_2$/ZnS and InP/ZnS [164,166].

As a representative example of the potential of such a tool for real-time in vivo visualization, Reiss and co-workers [163] monitored the fluorescence distribution of CuInS$_2$/ZnS QDs (functionalized with dihydrolipoic acid yielding a hydrodynamic diameter of approx. 17 nm) with time (figure 13). QDs were injected intravenously into the tail of nude mouse and after 15 min, they accumulate in the liver, spleen and lungs (fluorescence in the stomach is attributed to the feed).

Additionally, Gu and co-workers [162] recently demonstrated for the first time the capability for in vivo multiplex imaging, using two different NIR-emitting QDs. A total of 720 nm and 800 nm emitting CuInS$_2$/ZnS NCs were injected into the mouse subcutaneously, respectively, in the right and the left paws (figure 14).

When excited at 660 nm, both types of QDs can be observed while only the fluorescence signal of the left leg remained very bright when irradiation occurs at 766 nm. This result indicates that CuInS$_2$/ZnS QDs could be used for in vivo multiplexed molecular imaging by selecting the appropriate excitation wavelength or filter (not shown here) [162].

However, progress differs significantly according to the materials: while being highly promising, the Ag$_2$E-based QDs (E = S, Se) are still in the first stage of development with the proof of principle of in vivo NIR fluorescence penetrability [100,126]. In contrast, impressive results were recently reported by Cheng and co-workers [166] with sophisticated biocompatible Dendron-coated InP/ZnS QDs (hydrodynamic diameter approx. 12 nm). These latter results satisfy many of the
requirements (NIR emission with high efficiency, high stability in biological media, biocompatibility, suitable small size with possible renal clearance, ability of extravasation) for clinical translation. In the article [166], they were used as nanoprobesto demonstrate in vivo the highly specific targeting of Dendron-RGD$_2$ for imaging integrin $\alpha v \beta 3$-positive tumours. The fluorescent signals derived from InP/ZnS NCs functionalized, respectively, with Dendron and Dendron-RGD$_2$ were monitored...

Figure 13. (a) Fluorescence images (excitation wavelength 633 nm) showing the temporal evolution of the biodistribution of the CuInS$_2$/ZnS NCs injected intravenously into the tail of a healthy nude mouse (equiv. $6.5 \times 10^{16}$ to $1.3 \times 10^{17}$ copper atoms). The integration time is 200 ms and the contrast has been set between 1850 and 32 400. (b) Fluorescence images of the different parts of the mouse after dissection. (Adapted from [163]. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.)

Figure 14. Multiplex NIR fluorescence imaging of mouse administered with two different NIR-emitting QDs-loaded micelles by subcutaneous injection (the right leg, 720 nm-emitting NCs; the left leg, 800 nm emitting NCs): (a) before injection, (b) $\lambda_{ex} = 660$ nm, a 700 nm long pass filter, (c) $\lambda_{ex} = 766$ nm, an 800 nm long pass filter. (Adapted from [162]. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.)

Figure 15. The dorsal images of SKOV3 tumour-bearing (arrows) mice injected with (a) InP/ZnS-Dendron-RGD$_2$ (200 pmol) and (b) InP/ZnS-Dendron (200 pmol) at 0.5, 1, 4, 5, 5.5, 6, 8, 24 and 28 h, respectively. The incidental high fluorescent signals in other body parts (arrowheads) might have originated from regular rodent food in stomach and faeces in intestine. (Reproduced from [166]. Copyright © 2012 American Chemical Society.)
in real-time over 28 h (figure 15). Thanks to the high sensitivity of these QDs, the difference of behaviour induced by the different functionalization could be evidenced. In the case of Dendron-RGD₂ functionalization, long-term retention is clearly observed from the fluorescence signal at the tumour site (indicated by the arrow, figure 15) which carries on after 28 h. In contrast, tumour uptake of InP/ZnS QDs-Dendron with visible contrast from surrounding tissues can be observed between 4 and 6 h and then disappears. This behaviour was assigned to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect for the suitably small InP/ZnS QDs-Dendron.

6.3. Multi-modal

Recently, hybrid NPs combining at least two properties have received lots of interest, as shown by the increasing number of dedicated reviews [167,168]. Combining optic and magnetic properties within the same nano-object would open new perspectives towards multi-modal imaging (NIR and MRI) [169], and theranostic applications, coupling detection and treatment potentialities [170]. However, one of the main issues is to keep both physical properties unaltered. QD luminescence can be strongly reduced due to electron transfer and competitive light absorption with the magnetic material. The direct growth of QD onto magnetic NPs, forming FePt-QDs heterodimers [171] or FePt@CdSe core/shell structure [172], results in a prominent quenching of the fluorescence [173]. Analogously, the growth of Co tips onto preformed CdSe nanorods results in an emission intensity 30 times lower than that of pure CdSe rods [174].

To prevent electron transfer, which results in luminescence quenching, QD and magnetic material could be isolated. One strategy is based on the use of metal oxide, insulating material, as the magnetic component of the heterodimer. Gao et al. [175] have shown that Fe₂O₃-CdSe NPs exhibit quantum yield as high as 38 per cent. Such fluorescence enables the intracellular uptake. Few results demonstrate the potential of inorganic NPs, while impressive fluorescent results were obtained for Cd-free InP/ZnS QDs. The next step towards the optimization of core/shell structured NPs, while impressive fluorescent results were obtained for Cd-free InP/ZnS QDs. The next step towards the optimization of contrast agents relies on the integration, within a single object, of MRI and NIR imaging potentialities. Such multi-modal NPs, though extremely promising, require the strict decoupling of semiconducting and metallic electronic states. The introduction of a large gap semiconductor spacer could be the most promising approach which could yield unaltered magnetic and optic properties.

7. Conclusion

In this review paper, we have presented the potentiality of a new generation of optimized contrast agents, based on metallic NPs and Hg, Cd, Pb, As free QDs, for, respectively, MRI and NIR imaging. Though extensive literature can be found on well-established iron oxide NPs or Cd-based QDs, only a few reports outline the requirements that the new probe generation should fulfill. Thanks to the description of the physics of the imaging techniques, optimized materials could be illuminated for as long as the syntheses appropriate to the corresponding NPs. Surface engineering strategies to ensure the biodistribution and the specific targeting of inorganic NPs were reviewed. The resulting NPs combine, through a complex architecture: the optimized inorganic core surrounded by a non-porous coating shell, to ensure the stability of the core, an organic corona to reach adequate biodistribution and targeting moieties to enhance the specific cellular uptake. Few results demonstrate the in vivo potentiality of these new generations of probes. Drastic enhancement of relaxivity (up to 10⁵ times) was observed for Co-based NPs, while impressive fluorescent results were obtained for Cd-free InP/ZnS QDs. The next step towards the optimization of contrast agents relies on the integration, within a single object, of MRI and NIR imaging potentialities. Such multi-modal NPs, though extremely promising, require the strict decoupling of semiconducting and metallic electronic states. The introduction of a large gap semiconductor spacer could be the most promising approach which could yield unaltered magnetic and optic properties.
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