Spatially selective surface platforms for binding fibrinogen prepared by particle lithography with organosilanes
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We introduce an approach based on particle lithography to prepare spatially selective surface platforms of organosilanes that are suitable for nanoscale studies of protein binding. Particle lithography was applied for patterning fibrinogen, a plasma protein that has a major role in the clotting cascade for blood coagulation and wound healing. Surface nanopatterns of mercaptopropylsilanes were designed as sites for the attachment of fibrinogen within a protein-resistant matrix of 2-[methoxy(polyethyleneoxy)propyl]trichlorosilane (PEG-silane). Preparing site-selective surfaces was problematic in our studies, because of the self-reactive properties of PEG-organosilanes. Certain organosilanes presenting hydroxyl head groups will cross react to form mixed surface multi-layers. We developed a clever strategy with particle lithography using masks of silica mesospheres to protect small, discrete regions of the surface from cross reactions. Images acquired with atomic force microscopy (AFM) disclose that fibrinogen attached primarily to the surface areas presenting thiol head groups, which were surrounded by PEG-silane. The activity for binding anti-fibrinogen was further evaluated using ex situ AFM studies, confirming that after immobilization the fibrinogen nanopatterns retained capacity for binding immunoglobulin G. Studies with AFM provide advantages of achieving nanoscale resolution for detecting surface changes during steps of biochemical surface reactions, without requiring chemical modification of proteins or fluorescent labels.

1. Introduction

Surface patterning is essential for the integration of biomolecules into miniature bioelectronic and sensing devices because the sensing element consists of a layer of biomolecules for the capture of target molecules and analytes. Micro- and nanoscale sensing devices require the immobilization of active proteins onto flat substrates [1–3]. Patterning of proteins has been accomplished at the micrometre level using microcontact printing [4,5], photolithography [6–9], electron-beam lithography [10–12] and microfluidic channels [13,14]. Advances for patterning proteins at the nanoscale on surfaces of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) have been achieved using dip-pen nanolithography [15–19], bias-induced oxidation lithography [20,21], imprint lithography [22,23] and nanografting [24–27]. Innovative approaches for patterning proteins using particle lithography have also been reported, achieving high throughput across areas spanning millimetre-to-centimetre dimensions [28–34].

In this study, we investigate the immobilization of fibrinogen on designed surfaces of nanopatterned organosilanes. Fibrinogen has a central role in both blood coagulation and blood-based infections and has intensively been studied because of its fundamental role in blood clotting, thrombosis, angiogenesis, wound healing, platelet adhesion and biocompatibility [35–37]. Surface studies using fluorescently labelled fibrinogen were reported for micro-line patterns of 3-mercaptopropyltriethoxysilane prepared by photopatterning [38]. Microcontact printing was used to directly stamp patterns of fibrinogen for studies of platelet adhesion and activation [39]. Micropatterned domains of fibrinogen within a micropatterned surface film of serum albumin were detected using...
properties of hydroxyl terminated PEG-organosilanes were prepared with relatively inexpensive reagents. However, when backfilling the coverage, geometry and lateral dimensions of nanopatterns is of the order of 100–200 nm, enabling resolution of surface changes at the nanoscale. The simple steps of bench chemistry used for particle lithography protocols are accessible for most laboratories and are well suited for studies of proteins using small quantities (microlitres) of highly dilute protein solutions.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials and reagents

Pieces of single-side polished Si(111) doped with boron (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA) were used as substrate. The silicon substrates were cleaned with sulfuric acid (ACS reagent 95%) and hydrogen peroxide (30%) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Silane reagents of PEG-silane and 3-mercaptopropyl-trimethoxysilane (MPTMS) were purchased from Gelest (Morrisville, PA) and used without further purification. Anhydrous toluene was obtained from Fisher Scientific. Monodispense silica powder (250 and 100 nm) was acquired from Fiber Optic Center, Inc. (New Bedford, MA) and prepared in ethanol (ACS grade; Pharmco-Aaper, TX). Deionized water used in experiments and to prepare phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) was obtained from a Direct-Q 3 system (18 MΩ; Millipore, Bedford, MA). Bovine fibrinogen was used for surface studies (Sigma-Aldrich). A suspension of 500 nm silica mesoparticles was obtained from Fisher Scientific.

2.2. Particle lithography procedure

An overview of the steps for particle lithography with organosilanes is shown in figure 1. Silicon substrates (5 x 5 mm²) were rinsed with deionized water, dried with argon and placed in a PEG-silane solution to refill the exposed surface sites with a protein resistive matrix. The mask of silica mesoparticles was removed by solvent rinsing. (b) After coating the MPTMS surface with a mask of silica mesospheres, the samples were treated with UV-ozone. (c) Samples were immersed in a PEG-silane solution to refill the exposed surface sites with a protein resistive matrix. The mask of silica mesospheres was removed by solvent rinsing. (d) Proteins were coupled to MPTMS sites after immersing samples in sulfo-SMCC.
in a 3:1 (v/v) solution of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide (piranha solution) for cleaning. The piranha solution should be handled with care, it is highly corrosive. After 90 min, the substrates were removed from the cleaning solution, rinsed with deionized water and dried under a stream of argon. The substrates were placed on a platform within a sealed reaction vessel containing 400 µl of neat MPTMS. The vessel was heated in an oven at 70°C to generate a vapour of MPTMS (figure 1a). Over time, the vapour reacted with the silicon substrate to produce a thin film of MPTMS throughout the surface areas of Si(111). After 4 h of exposure to vapour, the samples were removed from the vessel, washed with ethanol, further rinsed by sonication in ethanol for 30 min and then dried under a stream of argon. A suspension of silica mesoparticles was prepared by adding 0.1 g of dry, powdered mesospheres to 10 ml of ethanol followed by 30 min sonication. Solutions of silica mesoparticles were cleaned by washing four times using centrifugation with resuspension in deionized water. To prepare a surface mask, 10 ml of silica mesoparticles was deposited on the MPTMS/Si sample and dried at 48°C for 16 h. The samples were then treated with UV–ozone for 20 min to oxidize exposed areas between the particle masks (figure 1b). Molecules of MPTMS within the areas exposed to UV–ozone treatment were decomposed, forming silanol functional groups [55]. Immediately after UV–ozone treatment, the samples were immersed in a 1% (v/v) solution of PEG-silane in anhydrous toluene for 5 h. During the immersion step, the areas exposed to UV–ozone were refilled with PEG-silane, whereas the areas underneath the silica spheres with MPTMS remained protected (figure 1c). Water was used to initially rinse the sample and quench the silanization reaction. Next, the samples were rinsed with ethanol with 30 min sonication to remove the silica mesospheres. A further rinsing step with sonication in water for 30 min ensured complete removal of the mesospheres and then the samples were dried under argon.

2.3. Attachment of fibrinogen to nanopatterned surfaces

The sulphhydril groups located within the nanopatterned pores of MPTMS were activated through sulfo-SMCC coupling [56]. The samples were submerged in a 1 mM solution of sulfo-SMCC prepared in PBS (pH 7.4) for 1 h. Next, the samples were rinsed with PBS to remove excess sulfo-SMCC. The samples were then immersed in a 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ solution of fibrinogen in PBS for 50 min. Lysine residues of the protein structure attach covalently to the reactive N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS-ester) located on the exposed region of sulfo-SMCC (figure 1d). The samples were rinsed successively with PBS followed by detergent (0.1% solution Tween 20) to remove non-specifically adsorbed protein.

2.4. Antigen–antibody binding studies

PBS was used to rinse the surface of fibrinogen nanopatterns. Next, the samples were immersed in a 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ solution of anti-fibrinogen in PBS for 30 min. After removal from the antibody solution, samples were rinsed successively with PBS, 0.1 per cent Tween 20 and deionized water. The samples were dried under a stream of argon and then characterized using tapping-mode AFM.

2.5. Atomic force microscopy

Scanning probe studies were done with either a model 5420 or 5500 instrument equipped with PicoView v. 1.8 software (Agilent Technologies, Tempe, AZ). Probes from Applied Nanostructures (ACTA, resonant frequency 300 kHz, k = 37 N m⁻¹) were used for imaging in tapping mode. Images were processed and analysed using Gwyddion (v. 2.15), which is freely available on the Internet and supported by the Czech Metrology Institute [57]. The surface coverage of nanopatterned areas was evaluated using UTHSCA.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Nanopatterns of 3-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane within a resistive 2-[methoxy(polyethyleneoxy)propyl] trichlorosilane matrix

Images of the surface changes were captured with ambient AFM studies after key reaction steps of organosilane patterning, protein immobilization and antibody binding. Representative images of the MPTMS nanodots within a PEG-silane matrix are shown in figure 2. Images of the clean substrate, MPTMS film and silica mesosphere samples are presented in the electronic supplementary material, figure S1. The silica spheres were completely removed by rinsing steps, to disclose designed nanopatterns of organosilanes. The nanopores are shallower than the surrounding areas of PEG-silane and appear as dark spots (figure 2a). There are 70 nanopores visible within the 5 × 5 μm² topograph, which scales to a surface density of approximately 10⁶ nanopatterns cm⁻², corresponding to 5.1 per cent surface coverage of MPTMS. The MPTMS nanopatterns are produced at the regions of contact that were directly underneath the silica mesospheres, which were protected from UV–ozone treatment. The nanopores are spaced regularly at 500 nm intervals, as determined by the periodicity of the mesosphere masks. The diameters of the nanopatterns measure 160 ± 20 nm and reflect the geometry of the areas of close physical contact between the spheres and the Si(111) substrates. The corresponding phase image (figure 2b) further reveals the differences in surface chemistry. The colour contrast is reversed for the phase frame, with brighter spots shown for the nanopores terminated with sulfhydryl groups; whereas the surrounding matrix areas of PEG-silane are darker. The phase images result from mapping slight incremental changes in the oscillation of the AFM tip caused by damping of the motion when the tip interacts with the surface. Thus, phase images are sensitive maps of changes in film thickness and tip–surface adhesion. A magnified view of a single nanopore is shown in the AFM topography frame of figure 2c. Within the 500 × 500 nm² frame, the clustered morphology of the surrounding PEG-silane matrix is apparent. The uneven morphology located at the bottom of the nanopore is attributable to the roughness for the underlying MPTMS film. The height of the nanopores measures 3.0 ± 0.3 nm above the matrix areas of PEG-silane (figure 2f). Assuming that the MPTMS film is a monolayer with a thickness of 0.7 nm [59], then the multi-layer thickness of the PEG-silane film is approximately 3.7 nm. This suggests that the surrounding film of PEG-silane probably forms a cross-linked bilayer.

The hydroxyl functional groups of PEG-silane are reported to be suitable for designing protein-resistant films [60,61]. There are few surfaces that resist protein adsorption, and considerable research has addressed studies of the mechanisms of protein resistance or adhesion to surfaces [62–65]. Systematic studies have been carried out to evaluate the molecular characteristics of functionalized SAMs for resisting protein adsorption [66,67].

Immersion of PEG-silane films into solutions of organosilanes generated mixed layers and multi-layer surface structures, which were not spatially selective for patterning proteins (data not shown). The rationale for using UV–ozone treatment to remove the selected areas of an organosilane surface layer follows from our studies of the reactivity of PEG-silane with other organosilanes. When using multi-step protocols to generate organosilane nanopatterns, experiments with particle lithography showed that hydroxyl head groups of PEG-silane surfaces react with other silanes during immersion steps. To prepare MPTMS nanopores, UV–ozone treatment through surface masks was found to provide selectivity in combination with
steps of particle lithography and immersion. A strategy for selectively backfilling irradiated sites provides nanoscale control for producing discrete small regions of MPTMS that can then be used with further biochemical steps to isolate and define surface sites for binding protein.

Changing the diameter of the mesoparticles used as masks for surface fabrication provides a way to control the surface coverage and density of the nanopatterns \[68,69\]. When using smaller diameters of silica mesoparticles, a greater surface density of nanopatterns can be generated. Further examples of AFM images of MPTMS nanopatterns prepared with different diameters of silica mesospheres are provided in the electronic supplementary material, figure S2.

3.2. Spatially selective attachment of fibrinogen to surface sites with MPTMS

Morphology changes are readily apparent for the sample surface after fibrinogen patterning (figure 3). The heights of the nanopatterns have increased, as shown for a representative $5 \times 5 \mu m^2$ topography frame (figure 3a). For the most part, fibrinogen seems to bind primarily at the sites with MPTMS, and negligible adsorbates are located in areas between the taller protein clusters. The arrangement of nanopatterns indicates the sites of small clusters of protein, spaced at intervals of 500 nm. Further examples of fibrinogen nanopatterns with even smaller periodicities are shown in the electronic supplementary material, figure S3. A zoom-in view of a single protein nanodot is presented in figure 3b. The height measures 9 nm above the PEG-silane matrix at the tallest area in the centre of the nanopattern (figure 3c). As the MPTMS nanopatterns were 3.0 nm shorter than the surrounding matrix film, after protein attachment, the height increase measures an overall thickness of approximately 12 nm for the nanopattern.

A sulfo-SMCC linker (0.83 nm) was used to couple fibrinogen to the surface of MPTMS nanopatterns; fibrinogen attached selectively at the regions patterned with sulphhydril moieties (figure 3). When the chemically patterned samples were immersed in a sulfo-SMCC solution for 1 h, the maleimide groups of sulfo-SMCC reacted with thiol head groups at the interface. This produces an activated surface pattern with an NHS-ester that was available for binding protein. When the activated sample was immersed in a solution of fibrinogen, exposed lysine groups of the protein reacted with the NHS-ester to link the protein to the surface. The PEG-silane matrix furnished a protein-resistant background to surround and isolate discrete regions of bound protein.

After binding fibrinogen, the change in height of the protein nanopatterns ranged from 9 to 13 nm (average is $11.0 \pm 1.1 \text{nm}$) when including the depth of 3.0 nm from the MPTMS pores. There are multiple exposed lysine residues on each fibrinogen molecule for attaching to the surface. This, the protein may attach to the surface with different orientations. Studies with high-resolution AFM images of fibrinogen have previously been reviewed \[43\]. Trinodular molecules of fibrinogen were reported to measure lengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>silica diameter (nm)</th>
<th>surface coverage MPTMS (%)</th>
<th>surface coverage fibrinogen (%)</th>
<th>pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>160 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>134 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>104 ± 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Surface coverage of MPTMS and fibrinogen as a function of mesosphere mask size.

\[Figure 4\]. Changes of the nanostructures after binding antibody. (a) Topography image ($5 \times 5 \mu m^2$) acquired in air; (b) single nanostructure of fibrinogen–immunoglobulin G; (c) height profiles after antibody binding corresponding to the coloured lines in (b).
ranging from 48 to 60 nm, and the width measured from 8 to 28 nm. The values measured for the height of fibrinogen ranged from 1.2 to 4.1 nm. For the fibrinogen bound to MPTMS nanopatterns, the thickness of 11.0 ± 1.1 nm most likely corresponds to 3–5 layers of protein assuming a horizontal orientation. The multi-layer assembly for fibrinogen has previously been documented [70,71]. As fibrinogen adsorbs or covalently attaches to a surface, it spreads onto the surface and unfolds. It has been suggested that this unfolding exposes binding sites that initiate self-aggregation [72].

The surface density and coverage of protein nanopatterns can be controlled at the nanoscale by selecting the diameter of mesospheres used for particle lithography masks. Mesospheres with a smaller diameter generate a greater number density of smaller-sized nanopatterns; however, the overall surface coverage of protein increases. Analysis of AFM images from experiments with different sizes of mesospheres is summarized in table 1. Our studies show that the width of the patterns increased after protein attachment such that the proteins extend beyond the edges of the MPTMS nanodots. In the longest direction, fibrinogen was reported to measure 47 nm [50]; this corresponds to an orientation where the long direction is parallel to the sample surface. Measurements of the diameters of the nanopores before protein attachment suggest that two or three fibrinogen molecules can fit on a single nanopattern. Particle lithography using smaller spheres likewise generated nanopores with smaller diameters (table 1). Eventually, if the diameter of the nanopatterns can be matched to the size of a single protein, selective patterning of individual proteins on the surface will be achievable.

3.3. Antigen–antibody binding studies

The final biochemical step for the studies with surface nanopatterning was to evaluate the activity of the immobilized fibrinogen for binding immunoglobulin G (IgG). Images were obtained after anti-fibrinogen was added to the sample ex situ (figure 4). The heights of the patterns have increased, as shown with topography views in figure 4. The periodic arrangement of nanopatterns is preserved, as shown in figure 4e; however, the nanopatterns are taller after binding anti-fibrinogen. Most of the antibody binding is localized at the central areas of the nanopatterns. A close-up view of a single nanostructure is shown in figure 4f. The surrounding areas of the matrix have also changed in appearance, indicating that there are adsorbates surrounding the nanopatterns. The nature of the adsorbates, which may be salts, contaminants or non-specifically bound protein or antibody, cannot be determined with AFM. The heights of the nanostructures after IgG attachment measured 17 ± 4 nm (including the 3 nm value for original depth of the nanopore). This value indicates an overall increase of 6 nm, which matches the approximate dimensions of a single layer of IgG with a side-on orientation.

To view the overall changes taking place on the designed surface at different points of the nanopatterning and protein-binding processes, figure 5 presents a side-by-side
Comparisons of AFM images during key steps of the reactions. The ex situ experiments disclose only very local areas of the surface; however, the frames are representative of multiple images acquired for different areas throughout the entire sample. The changes in height and lateral dimensions for snapshots at each step are shown in the top row of figure 5. A quantitative comparison of the changes in dimension is shown with representative cursor profiles drawn across the topography frames in the middle row. From left to right, the nanopores change from being holes, to forming isolated islands of fibrinogen, to taller protein–antibody clusters. At each step, the structures become both taller and slightly wider with the addition of fibrinogen and anti-fibrinogen molecules. Smaller regions measuring 1.5 × 1.5 μm² are compared with three-dimensional views in the bottom row of figure 5. The progressive growth in height and width of the nanostructures is apparent, and surface selectivity for protein binding is mainly localized to the areas of MPTMS.

A significant advantage for developing particle lithography-based approaches for patterning organosilanes is that high throughput at the scale of millions to billions of nanopatterns can be achieved with only basic steps of the chemical self-assembly. As organosilanes can be used with glass substrates, the procedures can be scaled to larger micron-scale dimensions for accomplishing protein-binding assays based on fluorescence detection. Future directions planned for AFM-based investigations with this surface protein sensor platform will be to study the interactions of small molecules or DNA with immobilized proteins and to develop more complex biochemical protocols for studies of protein bioactivity.

4. Conclusions

The reactivity of nanopatterns of organosilanes can be designed to spatially direct the immobilization of fibrinogen and IgG. Surface changes were monitored after steps of protein immobilization and antibody binding using ex situ AFM studies. Changes in the thickness of protein layers after immobilization were evaluated directly using height measurements with AFM, without the need for fluorescent or chemical labeling. Future work will investigate the size-dependent changes in the nanopattern size for the effectiveness of protein immobilization, protein activity for binding antibodies in situ, as well as the changes in the surface morphology within liquid media at different pH/ion concentrations.
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