Towards quantum simulations of biological information flow
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Recent advances in the spectroscopy of biomolecules have highlighted the possibility of quantum coherence playing an active role in biological energy transport. The revelation that quantum coherence can survive in the hot and wet environment of biology has generated a lively debate across both the physics and biology communities. In particular, it remains unclear to what extent non-trivial quantum effects are used in biology and what advantage, if any, they afford. We propose an analogue quantum simulator, based on currently available techniques in ultra-cold atom physics, to study a model of energy and electron transport based on the Holstein Hamiltonian. By simulating the salient aspects of a biological system in a tunable laboratory set-up, we hope to gain insight into the validity of several theoretical models of biological quantum transport in a variety of relevant parameter regimes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The observation of long-lived quantum coherence within the photosynthetic Fenna–Matthews–Olson complex [1], even at room temperature [2], has raised the possibility of quantum coherence playing a functioning role in the transport of energy within biological molecules. This runs contrary to traditional semi-classical, diffusive models of biological energy and electron transport based on purely stochastic dynamics [3–5], spurring the development of new microscopic models that allow for quantum mechanical effects.

Coherence in quantum mechanics is a direct manifestation of the superposition principle, a defining feature of quantum mechanics that allows quantum particles to exist in several different states simultaneously. This lies in stark contrast to our ‘classical’ perception where the objective properties of particles have well-defined values. For instance, in classical information theory information is encoded within a bit that exists in one of two computational states, ‘0’ or ‘1’, at all times. Following classical intuition, the act of measurement deterministically reveals the well-defined property of the bit value. This logic fails when the states of a two-level quantum object, such as an electron spin, are used to encode information. This quantum bit or ‘qubit’ may exist in either of the two computational states |0⟩ or |1⟩, or any of an infinite number of superposition states comprising a linear combination of them (figure 1). Measurement of the qubit state ‘collapses’ the superposition to either one of the computational states with a certain probability. Despite the loss of information upon collapse, the ability of the qubit to exist in a superposition of both |0⟩ and |1⟩ gives quantum computation an inherent parallelism over analogous computations using only classical bits [6]. Accordingly, the field quantum information theory has exploited quantum coherence to develop information processing schemes of superior power to their classical counterparts [7].

Quantum coherence is also the driving force behind many physical phenomena such as high-temperature superconductivity in certain synthetic materials, Bose-Einstein condensation in clouds of ultra-cold atoms and various transport processes in condensed matter systems. In the particular case of transport, the presence of quantum coherence can lead to a considerable increase in the speed of the process. This is illustrated by the one-dimensional random walk, a model for the transport of a single particle. In a classical random walk, a ‘walker’ (representing a particle) starts at the origin of an infinite line. For simplicity, the line is divided into discrete points, as is time. At each point in time a two-sided coin (a bit) is flipped and the walker steps one unit to the left upon obtaining a ‘heads’ outcome, or one unit to the right for ‘tails’. The coin is flipped a further $M - 1$ times, following...
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Figure 1. The Bloch sphere provides a geometric represen-
tation of a qubit. In classical information theory, a bit can
exist in one of two possible states ‘0' or ‘1’, represented by
the poles of the sphere. The state of a qubit can be any one
of an infinite number of points along the surface of the
Bloch sphere. The poles of the sphere represent the computa-
tional states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ of the qubit and all other points
(such as that indicated by the blue arrow) describe a coherent
superposition of these states $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$, subject to the
normalization condition $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. The counterintu-
tive property of quantum coherence leads to many uniquely
quantum phenomena, such as the superior power of quan-
tum information processing protocols or the formation of
Bose–Einstein condensation in gasses of ultra-cold atoms.

the same rules, and the position of the walker is
measured after $M$ steps. Repeating this experiment
many times yields a probability distribution for the pos-
tion of the walker that is binomial with a width
proportional to $\sqrt{M}$, characterizing diffusive transport.

The quantum version of a random walk [8] differs in
the key respect that the quantum coin (qubit) may exist
in a coherent superposition of ‘heads’ and ‘tails’, dictat-
ing that, in general, the walker must step in both
directions simultaneously at each point in time. After
$M$ steps the position of the walker is thus a coherent
superposition of all positions within $M$ points of the
origin. Measurement of quantum walker’s position col-
lapses the superposition to one well-defined location
and the resulting probability distribution is obtained
by repeating the experiment many times. In this case,
the width of the probability distribution is proportional
to $M$, i.e. a quantum walk exhibits ballistic transport
offering a $\sqrt{M}$ ‘speed-up’ owing to the presence of quan-
tum coherence. In a realistic transport process, such as
the transfer of electrons in a metal, the coupling of the
electron to an ‘environment’ of quantized vibrational
modes (phonons) leads to ‘decoherence’ of the super-
position across many locations. This arises from the
phonons performing ‘weak’ measurements on the pos-
tion of the electron and tends to reduce quantum
coherent superposition states to classical statistical mix-
tures. Accordingly, decoherence leads to a crossover
from ballistic transport to classical diffusive behaviour

with a timescale that depends strongly on the
microscopic details of the material in question.

Although purely ballistic transport in biological
molecules at room temperatures is improbable, it is
possible that some biological transport processes oper-
ate between the purely classical diffusive regime and the
quantum ballistic regime, at least partially exploiting
the presence of quantum coherence. Models along these
lines have been proposed to study excitonic energy transport
in photosynthetic complexes [9–13] and electron transport
in metalloproteins [14]. Further studies have
investigated the role of quantum entanglement in these
settings [15,16].

Remarkable as the experimental observation of
quantum coherence in a room temperature biological
system may be [2], there is still little empirical infor-
mation regarding the role of quantum coherence in
this context or the precise mechanism of transport.
This is partly owing to the complexity of biological sys-
tems, making it difficult to isolate distinct parts of the
system in order to ascertain the dominant contributions
to the transport. A further interesting question is how
widespread quantum effects in biology might be. Is it
possible that similar effects to those observed in photo-
synthetic energy transport are also exhibited in some
biological electron transport processes? An alternative
means of investigating quantum effects in biological sys-
tems is the use of a quantum simulator. Following the
original idea of Feynman [17], a quantum simulator
describes the construction of a relatively simple and
experimentally controllable quantum system that
capsulates the essential features of the system of
interest. This raises the prospect of mimicking the key
features of biological energy and electron transport in
an experimentally tunable set-up, allowing the investi-
gation of a wide range of relevant parameter regimes
towards transport. This extends from the current use of classical
simulation that yields reliable results under only some
of the conditions that are relevant to biology (see §3).

Ultra-cold quantum gases have emerged as an ideal
candidate for designing controllable experiments to
simulate effects in condensed matter physics [18]. Draw-
ing upon a range of recently developed techniques, the
parameters of certain Hamiltonians can be tuned with
an unprecedented precision, allowing for the exploration
of phase diagrams synonymous with condensed matter
systems. A celebrated example in this direction was
the observation of the superfluid-Mott insulator
transition using cold atoms trapped in an optical lat-
tice [19] (see §4). In addition to the experimental
control afforded by ultra-cold atomic gases, the high
degree of isolation of the system from its environment
prevents the onset of unwanted decoherence and allows
for excellent time resolution of quantum dynamics.

In this article we propose a quantum simulator
based on techniques currently employed in the field of
experimental ultra-cold atomic physics, to simulate
quantum coherent energy and electron transport
based on the Holstein Hamiltonian [20]. This model is
used as a prototype for excitonic energy transport
in photosynthetic complexes [9–13] and electron transport
in metalloproteins [14]. The rest of this paper is structured
as follows: In §2 the Holstein Hamiltonian is introduced as a description of biological energy and electron transport. Section 3 introduces the basic ideas of quantum simulation before, in §4, we explore the possibility of a quantum simulator of biological energy and electron transport based on current technology in ultra-cold atomic physics.

2. TRANSPORT MECHANISMS IN BIOMOLECULES

Biomolecular energy and electron transport are both well studied for their relevance to emerging technologies, biomedicine and for their key roles in a variety of chemical processes in biology. The overwhelming complexity of many biological processes, however, necessitates their simplification to a few key components for the construction of physical models. Following these lines, many biomolecules involved in energy or electron transport conform to a general gross structure constituting a ‘system’ of sites embedded within an ‘environment’ of protein and surrounding solvent (figure 2). The study of transport is then reduced to the study of quantum coherent motion of a particle among the sites of the system in a manner reminiscent of a quantum random walk. Crucially, the coupling of the system to its environment (containing millions of atoms) ‘washes out’ the signature of quantum coherence by introducing non-unitary (containing millions of atoms) dynamics to the system. These manifest themselves as quantum coherence by introducing non-unitary (containing millions of atoms) ‘washes out’ the signature of quantum coherence by introducing non-unitary (containing millions of atoms) processes. Studies accounting for the interplay of coherent transport and coherence destroying processes lead to enhanced transfer rates compared with those predicted with semi-classical or purely coherent models. The association of quantum effects with an enhancement of transport rate has drawn analogy with the interplay of coherent transport and coherence destroying processes, while also governing the strength of the couplings.

The so-called interacting spin-boson model is well-studied as a model of energy and electron transfer in condensed phases, see [25]. The Holstein Hamiltonian is a straightforward extension of the spin-boson Hamiltonian to systems of more than two sites. The Holstein Hamiltonian can be partitioned into particle, environmental and interaction contributions as:

\[ H = H_p + H_{vb} + H_{int}. \tag{2.1} \]

The particle term of the Holstein Hamiltonian \( H_p \) is the well-known disordered tight-binding Hamiltonian for a network of \( N \) sites:

\[ H_p = \sum_i^{N} E_i (\sigma_i^x - \frac{\sigma_i^y}{2}) + \hbar \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} t_{ij} (\sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_j^y), \tag{2.2} \]

where \( \sigma_i^x, i \in \{x, y, z\} \) are the Pauli matrices acting at the \( i \)th site and \( \sigma_i^y = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i^x + \sigma_i^z) \), \( \hbar \) is Planck’s constant, \( E_i \) refers to the on-site energy of a particle localized at the \( i \)th site and \( t_{ij} \) is the amplitude of coherent coupling of the particle between the \( i \)th and \( j \)th sites. The parameters \( t_{ij} \) and \( E_i \) can already contain a certain amount of complexity that accounts for the vibrational structure of each site [14]. Equation (2.2) thus describes the coherent motion of a quantum particle through an arbitrary network of sites. In the case of photosynthetic energy transfer, it describes the motion of an exciton through a two-dimensional network of seven chromophores, while for respiratory complex I it describes the tunnelling of an electron through a linear chain of seven redox centres.

The assumption that photosynthetic energy transfer operates in the single excitation manifold and its relevance to quantum effects in such models has been critiqued in Tiersch et al. [26].

The environment is typically modelled as an infinite set of harmonic oscillators, each having characteristic frequencies \( v_k \) and corresponding bosonic creation and annihilation operators, \( a_k^\dagger \) and \( a_k \), for the \( k \)th mode. The environmental component of the Holstein Hamiltonian is then:

\[ H_{vb} = \hbar \sum_k v_k a_k^\dagger a_k. \tag{2.3} \]

Finally, the interaction part \( H_{int} \) of the Holstein Hamiltonian accounts for the system–environment coupling:

\[ H_{int} = \sum_i^{N} \sum_k g_{i,k} \left( 1 - \sigma_i^z \right) \left( a_k + a_k^\dagger \right), \tag{2.4} \]

where \( g_{i,k} \) describes the coupling strength between a particle at site \( i \) and the \( k \)th phonon mode. The effect of the environment on the particle transport is completely governed by the strength of the couplings \( g_{i,k} \) and the density of modes of the environment (the number of oscillators per unit frequency). These quantities are, in general, difficult to extract for a biological system given the large number of atoms involved and poor degree of experimental access. Nonetheless,
theoretical models of the dynamics rely intricately on the precise behaviour of these quantities. On this basis the construction of a quantum simulator that is able to controllably mimic each of the components of the Hamiltonian would not only serve to demonstrate the technological applicability of environmentally assisted transport, but also provide a testbed for elucidating the effect of environments with differing density of modes and couplings. A quantum simulator may also provide a means of probing different parameter regimes to help ascertain how widespread quantum effects in biology could be.

3. QUANTUM SIMULATORS: THE STATE OF THE ART

Even if the Hamiltonian of a disordered quantum many-body system such as a biomolecule can be constructed, there still remains the herculean computational task of simulating the quantum state on a classical computer. The difficulty is owing to the large classical computational memory resources required to store the quantum state, which scales exponentially with the size of the system. This can be seen by considering the memory required to store the quantum state of an arbitrary \( n \) qubit system:

\[
B = 2^n \times 2 \times 32 = 2^{n+6}.
\]

By contrast, the memory required to store the state of a classical system of particles scales linearly with the size of the system. A high-specification modern day desktop computer may have 16 Gbytes (\( 2^{37} \) bits) of memory. This allows the state of a classical system containing many millions of particles to be stored while being limited to only an \( n = 31 \) qubit system at most. The problem is compounded when the system is coupled to a large environment which can extend the Hilbert space to infinite dimension. Furthermore, calculating the time evolution of even moderately sized quantum systems can be a computationally intensive process. Although current theoretical and numerical approaches to open quantum systems dynamics can circumvent some of the problems associated with the classical simulation of quantum systems using variational master equations, numerical path integration and adaptive truncation of the Hilbert space (see \([28–30]\) for example), efforts are still ongoing to accurately describe an open system dynamics in all relevant parameter regimes and environments in the context of biological energy and electron transport. A possible solution to these problems was proposed over a quarter of a century ago by Feynman \([17]\), who suggested that a controllable laboratory device obeying the laws of quantum mechanics could be programmed to efficiently simulate the physics of another quantum system, avoiding the aforementioned pitfalls associated with classical simulation. Since then, spectacular advances have been
made in the experimental coherent control of quantum systems. This has led to the experimental realization of a quantum simulator, with a plethora of candidate platforms becoming available [27,31].

Quantum simulators fall into two categories: analogue and digital. A digital simulator is a more recent refinement of Feynman’s original idea that draws upon ideas from quantum computation to use systems of qubits to encode the quantum state of an arbitrary many-body system. Digital simulation relies on the ability to decompose the Hamiltonian of the system to be simulated into a series of one and two qubit operations that are executed in a stroboscopic fashion on an ensemble of laboratory qubits. The details of this procedure were fleshed out by Lloyd [32] along with an extension to simulate an open quantum system. Very recently, these ideas have been extended to the realm of dissipative systems [33] of direct applicability to transport in biological systems. The realization of a universal quantum simulator capable of simulating arbitrary quantum systems, closed or open, would represent a landmark achievement. Progress in this direction has recently been in strings of cold trapped ions [34].

Analogues of a universal quantum simulator capable of simulating arbitrary quantum systems, closed or open, would represent a landmark achievement. Progress in this direction has recently been in strings of cold trapped ions [34].

Analogue quantum simulators are experimental systems with a direct mapping between the state and dynamics of the many-body system to be simulated to the laboratory device. Although analogue simulators are limited by their ability to simulate only a very specific class of process, they have been implemented experimentally in a number of different guises [31].

The key components required for performing an analogue quantum simulation are:

- the ability to prepare your quantum simulator in some well-defined initial state;
- the ability to accurately read out a final state after some finite time of dynamical evolution; and
- the ability to extract the desired information from the simulator.

In particular, the extraction of the desired information is crucial as it conceivable that while a device accurately simulates the system of interest, the relevant information is inaccessible owing to experimental imperfections. For example, in the case of quantum transport the time-dependent density dynamics of the particle may be extracted without obtaining information related directly to the spatial coherence of the particle. Existing proposals on how quantum simulation may be used in biological settings include simulation of the noise-assisted transport in an array of coupled optical cavities [35] and the quantum-aided simulation of molecular dynamics for large biomolecules [36]. In the following section we propose an analogue quantum simulator based on currently available techniques in ultra-cold atomic physics that is capable of providing information on the particle dynamics and spatial coherence in biological energy and electron transport described by the Holstein Hamiltonian (equation (2.1)).

### 4. ULTRA-COLD ATOMIC SIMULATIONS

Ensembles of trapped ultra-cold atoms have emerged as prime candidates for the analogue simulation of condensed matter systems [37,38]. A textbook example is the microscopic model of electricity based on electron–phonon interactions [39]. The first component required for a faithful simulation is a periodic potential, provided by an optical lattice. An optical lattice is a spatially periodic light pattern formed by the interference of two counter-propagating laser fields. When atoms are cooled to ultra-cold temperatures, they are trapped at the potential minima. The resulting arrangement is reminiscent of the standard crystal lattice synonymous with solid-state physics. The properties of the lattice, such as the lattice spacing and the depth, can be tuned by manipulation of the external laser fields. The interactions between the particles can also be controlled. This is possible by means of Feshbach resonances that are generated by applying an external field to the ensemble. The resonance occurs when the energy of a bound state of the inter-atomic scattering potential between atoms is equal to the kinetic energy of a colliding pair of atoms. The combination of these techniques allows the replication of the Hamiltonian described in equation (2.2) to describe the tunnelling of an atom among the resonant sites of the optical lattice.

The optical lattice differs from a real lattice in the key respect that it does not possess any phonon modes. To remedy this, Jaksch et al. [40–42] have proposed the use of single and multiple neutral ‘impurity’ atoms trapped in an optical lattice and immersed in an auxiliary Bose–Einstein condensate formed from a different atomic species. Here the lattice is far detuned from the atomic transitions of the Bose–Einstein condensate atoms and the low-energy excitations of the Bose–Einstein condensate form a quadratic phonon bath in the form of equation (2.3). The phonons then couple to the impurity atoms within the optical lattice via an interaction term identical to equation (2.4). The coupling parameter \( g_{i,k} \) is determined by the \( s \)-wave scattering length of the impurity atoms with the atoms of the Bose–Einstein condensate and the overlaps of the spatial wavepacket of the impurity and the phonon modes of the Bose–Einstein condensate (for further technical details, see [40–42]). This set-up provides a tunable analogue simulator of the Holstein Hamiltonian, allowing the study of system–environment–like interactions in a controlled manner. The intra- and inter-species interactions may be tuned and even ‘turned off’ using a combination of both the trapping geometry and the available Feshbach resonances. Dynamics of the impurities are initiated by providing an effective voltage in the form of a lattice tilt and the impurity dynamics can be tracked using known experimental techniques [43,44]. By varying the dispersion relation and temperature of the Bose–Einstein condensate, a crossover between quantum coherent and classical diffusive transport is observed [40–42].

The simulation of biological energy and electron transport introduces a number of specific difficulties...
to the set-up described above. Biological transport typically involves mesoscopic systems containing only a small number of sites (seven in the case of respiratory complex I). Furthermore, biological transport is marked by the presence of disorder in the particle energies and inter-site distances, this being reflected in disorder in the on-site energies $E_i$ and coherent couplings $t_{ij}$ contained in equation (2.2). Neither of these possibilities are afforded by standard optical lattice techniques. Recently however, a method of generating arbitrary optical potentials for ultra-cold atoms was implemented by projecting a holographic mask through a specialized imaging system [45,46]. Here individual atoms in a tight-binding-like lattice were detected with almost perfect fidelity. It is also possible to make real-time simulations of the dynamics. In principle, this technique could be used to generate a small lattice with a level of disorder that is typical of the parameters in the Hamiltonian (equation (2.2)) describing biological transport. A single particle could be trapped in this geometry and, as described above, a phonon bath in the form of an auxiliary quantum gas can be introduced. Alternatively, rather than a single impurity hopping in a lattice, the Holstein Hamiltonian could be implemented with a single excitation shared across atoms trapped in each lattice site and this excitation interacting with the excitations of the auxiliary gas.

While it is important to state that such a simulator does not exactly describe in situ biological energy and electron transport, it can provide insight into several features of biological quantum transport that are currently the subject of active research. One of the features debated is the principle of noise-assisted transport. Here it is argued that noise stemming from the protein (equation (2.2)) describing biological transport. A single particle could be trapped in this geometry and, as described above, a phonon bath in the form of an auxiliary quantum gas can be introduced. Alternatively, rather than a single impurity hopping in a lattice, the Holstein Hamiltonian could be implemented with a single excitation shared across atoms trapped in each lattice site and this excitation interacting with the excitations of the auxiliary gas.

5. CONCLUSION

We have proposed an analogue quantum simulator based on currently available methods in the field of ultra-cold atomic physics, to study several salient aspects of quantum coherent energy and electron transport in biology. Using an ultra-cold impurity atom within an arbitrarily-shaped optical lattice [45,46] and submerged in a Bose–Einstein condensate, a fully tunable realization of the Holstein Hamiltonian (equation (2.1)) may be achieved [40–42]. Such a system can serve as a testbed for models of biological quantum transport in various parameter regimes [28,29]. More generally, the notion of faithfully replicating in situ biological energy and electron transport using a quantum simulator remains an interesting open question. The development of a universal digital quantum simulator [32] would hypothetically allow the simulation of a multitude of relevant Hamiltonians, though the often overwhelming complexity of biological systems would still present significant difficulties in ‘programming’ such a simulator to exactly reflect the corresponding biological system. In the shorter term, analogue quantum simulators can be realized using current technology and may afford some valuable insight into the rapidly expanding area of research concerning quantum effects in biology.
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